	Page 1		Page 3
		1	INDEX
		2	
		3	WITNESS: TED HOBSON
		4	
		5	EXAMINATION
	IN RE: AARON ZAHN-TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT	6	By Mr. Powell
	AGREEMENT WITH JEA	7 8	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 63
		9	
		10	
	SWORN STATEMENT	11	
	OF	12	(No Exhibits)
	TED HOBSON	13	
	DATE TAKEN. Thursday, Lauren, 2, 2020	14	
	DATE TAKEN: Thursday, January 2, 2020 TIME: 9:26 a.m 10:50 a.m.	15	
	PLACE: Office of General Counsel	16	
	117 West Duval Street,	17 18	
	Suite 480 Jacksonville, Florida	19	
	Jacksonvine, Pionua	20	
	REPORTED BY: Heather M. Thomas,	21	
	Court Reporter	22	
		23	
		24	
		25	
	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES FOR CITY OF JACKSONVILLE:	1	MR. LINSNER: This recorded interview is being
2	STEPHEN J. POWELL, ESQUIRE	2	conducted at the Office of General Counsel,
2	SEAN GRANAT, ESQUIRE	3	City of Jacksonville. Today is January 2nd, 2020.
3	Office of General Counsel 117 West Duval Street, Suite 480	4	The time is now 9:30 or I'll put 9:26 a.m.
4	Jacksonville, FL 32202	5	I'm Investigator Robert Linsner. I'm employed
5		6	by the Office of Inspector General,
6 7	APPEARANCES FOR OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL:	7 8	City of Jacksonville. The Office of Inspector
8	ROBERT LINSNER, INVESTIGATOR	9	General is assisting the Office of General Counsel with this investigation regarding Aaron Zahn,
	Office of Inspector General-City of Jacksonville	10	former CEO of JEA.
9	231 East Forsyth Street, Suite 470	11	Mr. Hobson, you're being interviewed as a
10	Jacksonville, FL 32202	12	witness in this investigation. If at any time your
11		13	status as a witness changes, you will be notified.
12	ALSO PRESENT: MITCH STONE, ESQUIRE	14	The attorneys who will be interviewing you are
13 14		15	Stephen Powell.
15		16	As a commissioned Notary Public in the State
16		17 18	of Florida, I'm authorized to administer oaths. Please be advised that any false statements made
17 18		19	during your interview or attempts to obstruct the
19		20	Office of Inspector General's investigation or
20		21	General Counsel's investigation may be used in a
21		22	subsequent prosecution against you.
22 23		23	If you could please raise your right hand.
24		24	Do you, Ted Hobson, swear to tell the truth,
25		25	the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

Page 7 Page 5 1 THE WITNESS: I do. 1 of interest related to Mr. Zahn's tenure, and as I said, 2 2 MR. LINSNER: Okay. Have any promises, our only reason for getting together today is to ask you 3 3 about some of those matters to determine what you knew threats, or inducements of any nature whatsoever 4 4 been made by me in order to obtain your consent to and when you knew it, and literally that's it. If you 5 this statement? 5 have any questions along the way, please speak up. 6 6 THE WITNESS: No. If you would, just answer as completely as you 7 7 MR. LINSNER: And do you understand that this can. If you do have a question about not understanding 8 8 recorded interview will be subject to public the question, let me know. I'll be more than happy to 9 9 disclosure pursuant to the Public Records Law and rephrase it and get it straight for you. 10 other laws of the State of Florida? 10 Because we do have a court reporter, I do ask 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 that you let me try to finish my statements before you 12 MR. LINSNER: Okay. 12 begin your answers. I'll do the same as best I can. 13 TED HOBSON 13 And that's simply to make sure that the reporter is able having been produced and first duly sworn as a witness, 14 14 to get us down clearly. 15 15 testified as follows: With that, I know you've been with JEA for a 16 **EXAMINATION** 16 long time, and I'm not -- I don't want to ask you to 17 BY MR. POWELL: 17 summarize the last what, 40-some years. If you could 18 18 O Good morning, Mr. Hobson. I'm Steve Powell. just confirm what your position is today and provide us 19 I'm with the Office of General Counsel. We have met 19 with a brief description of your job duties and 20 2.0 before, and I think we've actually done some other responsibilities, please. 21 21 JEA-related work along the way. A Okay. My current title is vice president, 22 22 chief compliance officer. There are five groups Let me also introduce Sean Granat at the end 23 within -- or departments within my group. The first one of the table. Sean is the deputy general counsel for 23 24 the tort and employment department of our office. I'm 24 is audit services. So we have an internal audit group 25 going to start, and Sean will chime in along the way. 25 that's responsible for internal audits as well as Page 6 Page 8 1 1 This is not a terribly formal structured questioning. forensics and investigations. They work closely with 2 We just simply want to explore a number of areas with 2 the Office of Inspector General in those duties. 3 you. I'm going to lay the groundwork for that and make 3 The second area, the second department, in my 4 sure you don't have any questions before we begin. 4 group is physical security. So the security guards and 5 5 And you're accompanied by Mitch Stone today as all the security processes and functions for all of our 6 well, Attorney Mitch Stone? 6 utilities and all of our facilities is in my group. 7 7 A Yes. That group is also -- has the public records group in 8 MR. POWELL: Good morning, Mr. Stone. 8 it, in security. And also identity security. So any 9 MR. STONE: Good morning. 9 type of cyber identity issue related to employee ID. So 10 10 mainly keeping track of who has what access. We call BY MR. POWELL: 11 Q Mr. Hobson, we are here today in connection 11 that IAM. That's in the security group. 12 with the assignment our office received on December 17th 12 Then I have two compliance groups. One's 13 from the JEA board of directors to review the tenure of 13 called electric compliance, and they're responsible for 14 Aaron Zahn as CEO of JEA to determine whether grounds 14 the FRCC standards of conduct. We have to comply with 15 15 over 1,000 rules in FRCC's -- not standards of conduct. exist to support a termination of his employment 16 16 That was a misstatement -- FRCC's reliability standards. contract for cause. 17 17 And that is the narrow point of our meeting We're responsible for complying with those. 18 today, and all of our questions will be related to that 18 And I've got a group of three people whose job 19 19 assignment. it is to ensure JEA complies with and can demonstrate 20 20 compliance with the operations and planning standards. With that, do you have any questions about 21 what we're here to do today or anything at all about 21 We get audited every three years, and they 22 22 this proceeding before I get started? actively participate in that and they represent JEA to 23 A No, I don't. 23 the regulators.

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

The other compliance group is called CIP

compliance, critical infrastructure protection. That's

Certified Court Reporters, Inc. (904) 356-4467

24

25

24

25

Q Okay. Along the course of our work over the

last couple of weeks, we've identified a number of areas

Page 9 Page 11 1 a subcategory of standards with FRCC. We're also 1 the one that was -- hold on, let me just finish -- the 2 2 required by regulation and with penalty and fines to one that was authorized and executed after the 3 comply with those standards. So that second group also 3 July 23 board meeting? 4 4 has three people, including a director of CIP A I don't know. There's been some question on 5 5 compliance. So those are those two groups. my part. I've worked with HR. I don't remember -- I 6 6 My last group is a one-person group. It's our don't remember. 7 ethics officer, currently Walette Stanford. I've had 7 Q Would you have a copy of it anywhere? 8 that for about -- that was a change that was made when 8 A I can't find one; I've looked. 9 Aaron came over. They moved the ethics officer to my 9 Q Would HR have a copy; do you think? 10 group. 10 A HR could not find the earlier contract when I 11 asked them for it. Because we had new contracts and I 11 I previously had had risk management which 12 included -- when I say risk management in this context, 12 wanted to look at my old contract, and they could not 13 it's insurance. So they moved insurance to finance and 13 find it and I couldn't find a copy of it, but I know we 14 14 moved compliance -- or the ethics officer to my group. had one. 15 15 Mainly just looking for a group to put it in. Q When was the employment contract that the 16 Q Where was it the ethics officer housed 16 board authorized on July 23 of last year, 2019, first 17 17 before -presented to you? 18 18 A Previously the ethics was in HR. A I don't recall the date. 19 Q How long have you been VP and chief compliance 19 Q Do you recall it being presented to you before 20 2.0 the board meeting? 21 21 A Since Paul McElroy took over, and Paul put me A I don't recall. I was probably not at the 22 in that position. I do not remember the exact date. 22 board meeting. 23 23 That would have been about the 2008/2009 time frame. Q Was it ever presented to you and you signed 24 But I don't remember the date. Actually, it may have 24 it? 25 actually been later than that. It may have been '10, 25 A I did sign a -- yes. I have executed the Page 12 Page 10 1 '11. 1 current contract. 2 2 Q Not that important, just wanted to see --I, actually, didn't execute it immediately. I 3 3 had discussions with HR, and they said, well, if you 4 4 Q -- back into Mr. McElroy's -already have a contract, you don't need a new one. I 5 5 A Right. said that's fine. But then they couldn't find the old 6 -- tenure. 6 contract, neither could I. So I ultimately executed a 7 7 Prior to the July 23 board meeting, had you new contract, but it was several months after the 8 8 ever had an employment contract at JEA? original presentation of the new contract to me. 9 A Yes. We had had -- we had -- have had 9 Q In response, I think, to my first question 10 about the contract, I think I understood you to say that 10 employment contracts kind of on and off, and I don't 11 11 this one was different than ones previous in that it know the history. But yes, I had had a previous 12 12 employment contract, but I don't remember when I got it. contemplated a change down the road involving the sale 13 13 It basically -- it was a pretty basic 14 employment contract, and I don't remember the details of 14 A Yes. I believe that's correct. I don't 15 15 it now. Not drastically different than the one we have believe that was in previous contracts. 16 16 now, other than there's -- the one we have now Q What was -- what's the basis of your 17 17 considers, you know, a change in -- a change in -- or a understanding that the contract and its pieces, 18 18 change in ownership of JEA, and the previous ones did components of the contract did contemplate a 19 19 not. They were just a very straightforward contract down-the-road sale of JEA? 20 20 with -- with some amount of pay on termination without A I believe -- again, I'm not looking at the 21 cause. 21 contract. I believe there's some language that talks 22 about -- and what's the term? It's not change of 22 But it was a very simple employment contract. 23 Again, I don't remember the details of it. This wasn't 23 ownership. It's --24 the first time we had employment contracts. 24 Q Is the word you're looking for 25 Q Was that previous contract in effect up until 25 recapitalization?

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

Page 13 Page 15 1 A I don't know if that's the word that was used 1 A Yes. I would like to clarify that that term 2 2 in the contract or not. I was looking for -- there's is -- is used a little bit ambiguously. Before 3 another term that just means change of leadership, 3 Aaron Zahn, the SLT was all of Paul's direct reports. 4 4 change of -- and I can't think of it. I don't recall, And so I reported to Paul, Melissa reported to Paul 5 actually, the term that was used. But I believe that 5 and -- right. And there was an organizational change 6 the recapitalization or change of ownership is 6 not long after Aaron took over, and he installed what I 7 7 contemplated in the new contracts. will call a top tier. And so we had -- Melissa worked 8 Q And what is your understanding of how your 8 for Aaron. He hired Herschel Vinyard, who worked for 9 9 contract would address, from your perspective, a Aaron. Ryan Wannemacher worked for Aaron. 10 down-the-road sale of JEA? In other words, what does 10 Am I missing somebody? your contract -- what would it mean for you if down the Q Shawn Eads? 11 11 12 12 A Shawn didn't initially work for Aaron. Shawn road JEA was sold? 13 A Again, I need to read the contract. I 13 initially worked for Julio, and I forget Julio's last 14 didn't -- I didn't read that in preparation for today's 14 name. 15 15 meeting. I believe what it does is assures me of three Q I think it's Romero. 16 years' worth of salary, and so on a change of ownership, 16 Romero, but then when Julio left, I think 17 17 either the new company would keep me for three years, or Shawn kind of assumed -- he didn't assume all the 18 they would pay me for whatever part of that three years 18 duties, but I think he kind of reported to Aaron. That 19 that they didn't keep me. If they did keep me, they'd 19 was never too clear to me. 20 2.0 just pay me. I mean, it's just standard employment. If But at any rate, Aaron had his direct reports, 21 there was -- and -- yeah, that was my understanding. 21 and then we had kind of a second tier SLT which were --22 Q Do you recall this being a benefit of any 22 so I reported to Herschel and Steve -- and, you know, 23 23 prior contract you had with JEA? Caren and the operational folks reported to Melissa. And so there was a two-tiered organization, a two-tiered 24 A A benefit related to change of ownership? 24 25 Q Yes, sir. 25 Page 14 Page 16 1 1 A No, I do not recall that being in any other Q All right. Let's talk about that a little bit 2 2 more in a second. contract. 3 Q In other words, some form of three-year 3 So do you recall that Mr. Zahn became the 4 protection for you --4 interim managing director and CEO following the 5 5 A No. April 2018 board meeting? Does that sound about right 6 Q -- in the event of --6 7 A No. 7 A It could be. I don't remember the dates, and 8 I know it was confusing. You know, we hired Aaron, and 8 Q -- anything happening at JEA? 9 A I do not recall that in any other previous 9 then they did a search and then they hired him 10 10 permanently. So I guess he was interim in the middle. 11 Q I'm sure we'll come back to the employment 11 You know, for three days it was Melissa and then it 12 contract and its genesis and related issues down the 12 wasn't Melissa, it was Aaron. So that was all kind 13 13 road, but let me back up a minute and mention that part 14 of the reason we wanted to talk to you is your position, 14 Q In any event, I'll represent to you that in 15 15 November -- the November 2018 board meeting is when he as we understand it, on what is referred to as the 16 senior leadership team at JEA. 16 became the permanent --17 A Right. 17 A Okay --18 18 Q -- managing director and CEO. Q I have a list of folks that I understand 19 are -- are or were members of the senior leadership team 19 20 prior to December 17th that includes Aaron Zahn, Melissa 20 Q This change in the senior leadership team 21 21 Dykes, Ryan Wannemacher. structure that you described a second --22 A Right. 22 You're familiar with this group? 23 A I am. 23 Q -- ago, did that occur prior to him becoming 24 Q And you are a member of the senior leadership 24 permanent, or was that something that whether -- was 25 team? that change made after he got the job --

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

Page 17 Page 19 1 A You know, I don't recall for sure. I believe 1 I had a PowerPoint and went through the 2 2 it was after he became permanent, but I'd have to go slides, answered his questions, and that was pretty much 3 3 back and look at the dates. Certainly mine was after, 4 4 because he moved me after he hired Herschel, and Q Then on to the board he goes; yes? 5 Herschel came on a good bit later -- well, not a good 5 A On to the board he goes, yep. 6 bit, but I think a couple months later. 6 Q Do you recall a subsequent private meeting 7 7 Q Do you remember the change as a notable event with Mr. Zahn? 8 in the course of things over at JEA? 8 A We had two or three. I don't know the number. 9 9 A Well, it was a notable event to me in that I He would schedule what he called lunch meetings with 10 was no longer reporting to the CEO; I was reporting to 10 each member of the SLT I believe. And I believe I did the chief administrative officer. two of those. And, again, we mainly talked about 11 11 12 Q Did Mr. Zahn sit down and explain this change 12 tactical stuff. I represented JEA to several outside, 13 to you? 13 you know, industry groups and we would talk about that 14 A We talked about it. He asked me if I liked 14 business. 15 15 it. I said no, I don't. I think there's communications Which industry groups did you represent JEA 16 issues that you're going to have -- you know, we've had 16 to? 17 17 A Florida Reliability -- Florida Electric these tiered structures -- I've seen a lot of 18 18 structures. And we've had these tiered structures Reliability Council, FRCC, which was -- it was both --19 19 before where communications is an issue. it's a funny organization. But it's both a trade group 20 20 And so for that reason I really don't like it in Florida for the Florida utilities and then it also --21 as well, but I'm certainly happy to continue if that's, 21 or it was up until June of last year. It was the FRCC's 22 you know, what you choose. He thought he needed to do 22 designated regulatory agency for the Florida utilities. 23 that. I don't recall why he thought he needed to do it. 23 So we reported to them and had to comply and had to 24 He felt it was appropriate and required moving forward 24 demonstrate compliance and were audited by FRCC. So 25 that we make those changes. 25 they were our compliance and enforcement authority, is Page 18 Page 20 1 1 Q Was this a private meeting between -the term they used. 2 2 A This was a private meeting between Aaron Zahn That actually changed in June. FRCC went away 3 and myself, and it was -- it was almost an impromptu. 3 as a compliance enforcement authority, and we got 4 It wasn't a scheduled -- it wasn't -- scheduled -- I 4 subsumed into the Southeast Regional -- SERC --5 5 don't recall it being a scheduled meeting. I recall compliance group. And so now we report to SERC. I 6 just talking with him about it. 6 represented JEA. I was a representative of JEA at FRCC. 7 7 Q Prior to that, had you had other private I am not at SERC. I was an alternate on the board at 8 8 FRCC and Melissa was the board member at FRCC, most meetings with Mr. Zahn? 9 A Very few. When he first came on the board, he 9 recently. I did the same function for Paul when Paul 10 10 met with all -- each of the SLTs one at a time, and we was here. just told him what we did and what our section did, what 11 Q Any other outside groups that you represent 11 12 JEA at? 12 we were responsible for. That was the first meeting 13 13 A Not directly. Well, I was JEA's -- I am JEA's with Aaron. 14 Q What about anything he shared with you about 14 designated NERC contact. This is complicated compliance 15 15 his thoughts on JEA? 16 A During that meeting, very little other than 16 Q Okay. We don't need to go there --17 17 he -- he -- he just -- he thought we needed to look A Okay, good. 18 18 Q -- right now. Mr. Granat may have some ahead further and be more strategic, and apparently was 19 giving him -- I'm just reading between the lines. He 19 questions, but I'm okay with that. 20 20 After Mr. Zahn became the permanent CE -kind of said that apparently he thought what I was 21 21 giving him was more tactical. But that's okay. He managing director and CEO --

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

Q -- did he bring everybody in on the senior

leadership team for a one-on-one luncheon meeting or any

Certified Court Reporters, Inc. (904) 356-4467

22

23

24

25

A Right.

other sort of discussion?

2223

24

25

just -- you know, no specific plans. He didn't share

much with me at all.

Q In that initial meeting?

A In that initial meeting.

Page 21

A He did. He held -- I don't know how many. He had several over his tenure here, meetings with the senior leadership team. It was common to do it across lunch, although they weren't all across lunch, where he would share things going on. We would each share what we were doing in our group. Yeah.

2.0

Q Do you recall a first mention in a discussion with Mr. Zahn of something called a long-term investment plan?

A Aaron talked about a long-term investment plan. I do not recall the first mention of it, but it has been talked about for some time.

Q Can you me help out a little bit? I can appreciate that you might not have a month and date, but we are only talking about one calendar year, 2019; right?

He became permanent in November of '18. So the time frame that I'm talking about is November '18 to December of '19.

Within that time frame, can you provide an estimate as to whether it was perhaps in the first half of '19 or the first quarter, anything along those lines?

A I think it was probably in the first half of '19. Yeah.

Q Do you recall a first mention in a discussion

Page 23 long-term all businesses do this, IOUs do this. We're

putting one together for us. So you will have not only your short term -- because we have a short-term

incentive plan now. We would have a long-term incentive plan.

I don't recall him differentiating it being, you know, it works this way if -- if there's a recap and --

Q Yeah, I'm not trying to put the words in your mouth. I thought you really did say --

A No, and I'm really trying to be honest with you. I'm trying to remember --

Q I thought you said that initially that he talked about incentives and reward tied to performance in -- both in a scenario where JEA continued on or where JEA was sold?

A So he did talk about that. Okay. I don't recall if that was in the big meeting that I talked about, the leadership team meeting. He mentioned it to me. Okay. Specifically we -- it's been three months ago or so.

Because I had indicated that I -- my long-term plans were to retire and leave, and he pointed out, well, you know, if you leave -- while you're making your decision to leave, remember we got this long-term plan,

Page 22

with Mr. Zahn or a presentation by Mr. Zahn to the senior leadership team as a whole, a description of something called a performance unit plan?

A I don't recall the first time I heard the term "performance unit plan", but I did hear Aaron describe the potential of the long-term plan that included incentives for good performance both if there is no change in the ownership and if there is a change in ownership.

I recall that brief -- it was very brief discussion. In fact, Aaron Zahn presented that to all -- to all management of JEA which is over 200 people at a -- we call them a leadership meeting. They used to be called management meetings. Aaron retitled them leadership meetings, typically on one -- the last Friday of the month.

I don't recall which one, but he described that in very general terms to everybody in JEA. I -- I don't recall if he used the term PUPs, but he used the long-term incentive plan and explained it and --

Q Explained it in terms of scenarios where under one scenario JEA is not sold and another scenario where JEA was sold?

A I don't recall that specificity in the leadership meeting, no, I don't. It was a three-year

Page 24

and it's operative whether or not we have a recapitalization or some words like that.

Actually, he described in terms the possibility of being able to benefit from a -- from a something. I misunderstood him when he said that. I said, so you're telling me that you might want to hire me back or there may be consulting opportunities when I leave.

Because I had indicated it would be early in -- in this year, in 2020. He said, no, I'm saying the long-term incentive plan might work for you, even if you leave.

That was a discussion we had and that was where we left it. I asked no more questions from him on that. I was a little surprised at that point to learn that that would make a difference to me if I was leaving.

Q Do you recall whether that conversation took place prior to the July board meeting?

A I think it was after the July board meeting.

Q All right.

A But I don't recall for sure. Like I said, it was an impromptu conversation.

 $\label{eq:Q} Q\quad \text{But you do recall the long-term incentive plan} \\ \text{discussion initially occurring well before the July}$

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

Page 25 Page 27 1 board meeting; correct? 1 incentive plan? 2 2 A I recall knowing about it before the July A No. Again --Q Do you ever remember the long-term incentive 3 board meeting. I don't recall a specific instance when 3 4 I heard about it. 4 plan being discussed by the entire senior leadership 5 Q Do you recall whether any linkage between the 5 team in a meeting with Mr. Zahn? 6 long-term incentive plan and a study being done by 6 A You know, I know it was to some level, not to 7 7 any degree. So I never saw -- but I don't know when Willis Towers Watson? 8 A Yes. I believe he -- well, he referenced a 8 that was. Let me finish my statement. And I don't know 9 9 that it was ever presented at a meeting of all the SLTs. study that's being done to aid in the development of 10 JEA's long-term plan, and I think that is the firm that 10 I know it was referenced once or twice. It was kind of, you know -- we had heard about 11 11 was doing the study. 12 it in the management meeting. We knew something was 12 Again, he represented several times that this 13 13 being worked on. Okay. But I never saw a presentation was common among investor-owned utilities and non-publicly owned or non-municipally owned businesses. 14 on the long-term incentive plan that I can recall. 14 15 15 It wasn't terribly common among municipals, but that's Q A minute ago you mentioned a discussion with 16 16 Mr. Zahn and he said, this is something that is common what they were trying to do. 17 in investor-own utilities. I think you said then he 17 Q Do you recall when that discussion might have said it was uncommon in public utilities? 18 18 taken place? 19 A Well, I was making -- I'm sorry. Are you 19 A Yeah, I believe -- well, not for sure. I 20 2.0 believe it may have been during that leadership meeting 21 Q Is that what he said to you? 21 with all the people involved. 22 A Well, he certainly said it was common among 22 Q All right. If you went back to your calendar, 23 IOUs. Did he say it was uncommon among municipals? I 23 do you think you would be able to give us the date of 24 don't -- let me restate that. I don't know that. He 2.4 that meeting? 25 was contrasting how IOUs do things versus how 25 A The date of the meeting? Page 26 Page 28 1 1 Q You said it was the last Friday of the month municipally owned utilities do things. And so in 2 usually. 2 that -- and he referenced the SL -- the long-term 3 A I mean, I could do some discussion and I could 3 incentive in that discussion. 4 look at my calendar, although the calendar is just going 4 But whether he actually said munis don't have 5 5 to show a meeting. It will not show what was at the it, I misspoke there. I don't know that he said that 6 meeting. I mean, that's available. JEA can look that 6 exactly. It was implied for sure. 7 7 up and figure that out. But he did have a discussion, I Q Are you aware of any municipal-owned utility 8 8 believe. I recalled him talking about it at the in the United States that has a long-term investment 9 9 meeting plan? 10 Q Would you have taken any notes of --10 A I am not, but then I am not up to speed on 11 A I didn't take any notes at the meeting, no. I 11 compensation philosophies and --12 did have a few employees, though, ask me about it. 12 Q So it's fair to say that you didn't make an 13 That's kind of why I remember him discussing it and my 13 independent --14 answer was, I don't really know any more than you just 14 (Discussion off the record.) 15 15 BY MR. POWELL: 16 Q The entire senior leadership team would meet 16 Q So you didn't make an independent inquiry to periodically, it's my understanding; yes? 17 17 determine whether plans like that were either common or 18 A Yes. 18 nonexistent elsewhere in the United States? 19 19 Q Every couple of weeks? A I did not make a study of that. A Yeah. And there -- yes. That's correct. 20 20 Q At about this time when this concept of a 21 Well, we didn't meet with Aaron every couple of weeks. 21 long-term incentive plan, investment plan, was being 22 It was probably longer than that. 22 discussed by Mr. Zahn, did you have discussions with 23 Q All right. Do you recall a presentation by 23 other members of the senior leadership team about the 24 24 Mr. Zahn or Mr. Wannemacher regarding a presentation to 25 the entire senior leadership team about the long-term 25 A Hallway conversations, but no meetings, no

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

Page 29 Page 31 1 presentations. I asked a couple people if they had any 1 O But no numbers? 2 idea what the metric would look like. The general 2 A Absolutely no numbers. You know, no, I just 3 3 don't -- I don't recall being given -- I certainly was answer was no. Q What do you mean by "what the metric would 4 4 not -- I'm trying to remember if I even remember how 5 look like"? 5 much each person could buy. But, no, I was not given a 6 A Well, I mean, there's got to be something that 6 7 7 Q Did you ever see a list that showed anything drives the compensation on a long-term compensation 8 plan. Is it net -- you know, is it -- and I'm not an 8 about how many units people could buy? 9 accountant. I'm an engineer. That's one of the reasons 9 A I never saw any documentation related to PUPs 10 I may not have understood it. 10 Q I asked you a minute ago about the Willis 11 But it's got to be some type of metric that 11 12 comes off the financials indicating you're doing bad or 12 Towers Watson, I think that's the correct name of it. 13 good or in the middle that's used to set compensation. 13 A Right. 14 14 Q Did you have any participation in their work And I know I mentioned to a couple of people if anybody 15 15 in the course of 2019? had seen a metric. The answer was no, not among my 16 second-tier group. No one had seen it. No one saw any 16 A No, none at all. 17 17 numbers. I mean, I never saw any numbers on any I did see a presentation that included their 18 long-term incentive. It was philosophical discussions. 18 work, and it may have been at that management meeting. 19 19 Q Eventually the long-term incentive plan I don't recall, but that was presented. 20 evolved into what we've referred to earlier as the 20 Q When you say "that management meeting", help 21 performance unit plan --21 me again when --22 A Right. 22 A The one where they talked about the long-term Q -- is that correct? 23 23 incentive where Aaron presented. It may have been then, 24 24 but I'm not sure if it was then. A Right. 25 Q And so that I don't have to keep saying that 25 Q Same question with respect to a company called Page 30 Page 32 1 phrase, let's call that the PUP. Okay? 1 McKinsey. Are you familiar with their work? 2 2 A That's fine. A I am. 3 Q When did you first --3 Q McKinsey is a long-term contractor with JEA; 4 4 A Hear the term? correct? 5 5 -- hear the term PUP or performance unit plan? 6 A I don't know. 6 Q What is your understanding of what they do for 7 7 Q Do you recall whether it was before or after JEA? 8 8 the July board meeting? A They were developing our long-term strategic 9 A No. I don't. You know, it was sometime in 9 plan. 10 10 the middle of the year, but I do not recall the first Q Prior to 2019, did you have an understanding time I heard the term "PUP". 11 of what McKinsey did for JEA? 11 12 12 Q And as with the long-term incentive plan or A No. I don't recall when we hired McKinsey. 13 compensation plan, did you ever receive any metric 13 We certainly did not have them five years ago. 14 information on the PUP? 14 Q Okay. And I may -- I may have switched Willis 15 15 Towers and McKinsey, but be that as it may, you said 16 Q Were you ever informed how many units you 16 that it's your understanding that in 2019 they were 17 17 would be entitled to purchase as the vice president and helping JEA develop the long-term strategic plan? 18 18 chief compliance officer? A Absolutely. 19 A No. I don't think I was ever told how many I 19 Q Did you have any participation in that? 20 could buy. I certainly did not know how many would be 20 A Yes. We had meetings of the whole SLT with 21 issued, period. Again, I had seen no numbers. I had no 21 McKinsey four times, five times, three times, some 22 22 idea. I don't believe I was ever told. number. Probably four or five is approximately the 23 It was a general understanding that the 23 right number, yes. 24 ability to purchase PUPs was related to your title, your 24 Q And do you recall any discussion at those 25 position, as well as your performance review. 25 meetings regarding the long-term incentive plan?

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

Page 35 Page 33 1 A I don't recall discussion with McKinsey. Now, 1 turning point, and he really believes that JEA wouldn't 2 2 we had -- we had meetings without McKinsey and -- and be viable in its current structure over the next ten 3 3 there was a discussion about opportunities for years, that -- and it's the same thing, either we need 4 4 developing a long-term incentive plan based on metrics. to change the structure and change the rules so that we 5 Yeah, we talked about it, but I don't recall 5 can do business differently, or the ownership or the 6 6 McKinsey being involved in that at all. It was just the management leadership of the organization, the character 7 7 earlier discussions I told you about where we talked of the organization, needs to change to stay viable. 8 8 about long-term incentives in general terms. There was That was something that he preached and -- and 9 9 a meeting, I think it was in April, where -- which is that may well have kind of started at that April 10 the first time I heard about the possibility or the 10 meeting. It seems like that may have been where we 11 consideration of long-term incentives related to our heard that first. 11 12 12 long-term strategy discussion. Q Would you agree that a discussion of that 13 Q Would that have been the April 4th meeting at 13 nature concerning the thought that JEA would not be 14 Ponte Vedra? 14 viable in its current structure would be a subject area 15 15 A At Ponte Vedra, yes, I think that's correct. that's quite apart from a compensation policy in 16 Q And this was an off-site meeting of the senior 16 general? 17 17 A Yeah, I'm not sure how you connect that. I leadership team; correct? 18 18 A That's correct. mean, if you talk about a change of ownership and a 19 Q Did McKinsey present at that meeting? 19 change of structure, I think you almost have to talk 20 2.0 A I don't recall. The discussion where we about a change in compensation strategy. 21 21 talked about the long-term incentive I believe did not Q Well, do you see any relation between a 22 have McKinsey present. And when I say didn't have them 22 project to develop a compensation policy to bring JEA 23 present, they may have been present, but they didn't 23 into conformity with the industry as a whole, any 24 present. They may have had one or two members there. 24 relationship between that and a discussion that JEA 25 In fact, my best recollection is they did have 25 cannot be viable in its current structure over the Page 34 Page 36 some staff there, but I don't recall McKinsey presenting 1 1 course of the next ten years necessitating some sort of 2 at that meeting. They did at other meetings. 2 structural change? 3 Q Do you recall any discussion at the April 4 3 A I may have lost your question there. 4 Ponte Vedra meeting -- a discussion among members of the 4 We certainly contemplated and talked about at 5 5 senior leadership team about the possibility of a that meeting different outcomes, change in ownership, a 6 down-the-road sale of JEA? 6 change in charter and rules for JEA so that we could be 7 7 A Yes. That was certainly something that was on more competitive. 8 8 the table and considered. Your question -- your question is how does 9 Q And it was part of the discussion at the 9 that relate to compensation? 10 April 4 meeting? 10 Q Yes, sir, or if it does --11 11 A I don't know --A I believe so. 12 Q All right. Was Mr. Zahn present --12 Q -- in your view. 13 13 A -- if it does directly, but both were 14 Q -- during the discussions? 14 discussed at that meeting --A He actually led most of that meeting. 15 15 Q Okay. Q Do you remember the tenure of his presentation 16 16 A -- clearly. 17 17 at that meeting? Q Okay. A I'm not exactly sure what you mean by "the 18 18 A I guess the implication was that, yeah, 19 tenure of his presentation". 19 compensation strategy would need to change if the 20 Q I mean it in terms of his view of the future 20 organization changed. 21 of JEA in terms of its challenges and perhaps viability. 21 Q The next off-site senior leadership team 22 22 meeting that I'm aware of was on June 11th at Ponte A Yeah, I don't recall specific terms, but in 23 general, he espoused what he has talked about for the 23 Vedra. 24 last several months now, the concept that the electric 24 Do you recall that one? 25 utility industry is at a turning point and JEA is at a 25 A I recall what I think is the next meeting, and

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

Page 37 Page 39 1 1 if June 11th is the date, that's fine. Q -- and the PUP was approved. 2 Q And what do you recall about it specifically 2 A Okay. I was not at that meeting. Do I 3 that puts you at Ponte Vedra on whatever -- accept from 3 recall -- I'm sure we -- we frequently had -- in fact, 4 4 me that it was June 11th. we always have debrief meetings after board meetings. A It was supposed to be a strategy development 5 5 So I'm sure we had a debrief meeting. 6 6 workshop. We wound up -- the SLT met without McKinsey, And we met several times on the strategic plan 7 and basically just did an update of where each one of us 7 and the implementation of the plan and where we were in 8 8 was and went over goals and things. It was much more that process, but I don't recall specific meetings and 9 specific dates. I could pick the dates up off a 9 tactical than strategic. 10 And then McKinsey had the second half of the 10 meeting, and they were supposed to present their work on 11 At the -- just to help here. At the same time 11 12 that we had those meetings, Melissa would have 12 developing the strategy. The work they presented was, 13 very frankly, poor. It hadn't changed much since the operational meetings for all of the SLT. Okay. And so 13 14 it would -- sometimes it was confusing as to which 14 last time we saw it. We kind of did a couple of 15 15 exercises around some of the thoughts, and I don't meeting we were sitting in. recall, but they were concepts and what is -- is this a 16 Q All right. Do you recall a meeting after the 16 17 July 23 board meeting of the operational meeting run by 17 good concept, what are the upsides and downsides of this 18 Melissa Dykes at which the decisions and events of the 18 concept. 19 July 23 board meeting were discussed and explained? 19 And I think the general consensus was that 20 20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I think the general consensus was that particular meeting was pretty wasted, was pretty -- not very useful, and that was -- that was the feedback I got from my peers as well as Shawn -- Aaron seemed pretty unhappy with the McKinsey work as well.

But I don't recall anything else at that meeting. I think we even busted up early.

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

July 23 board meeting were discussed and explained?

A I don't specifically recall the meeting. I expect we had one. If there was an event or something that brought it to mind, maybe I could. I just don't recall a specific meeting.

Q At any of those meetings after the July 23rd board meeting, was the PUP explained to you?

Page 38

Q Was there another meeting of the senior leadership team prior to the July board meeting?

A I don't know. I don't -- I can't recall. I could look at my calendar and figure that out, but I don't recall.

Q Okay. After the July 23 board meeting, do you recall a couple of days of senior leadership team meetings at Ponte Vedra in mid-August?

A I don't know. I -- I guess I thought we had only two meetings at Ponte Vedra; the first one we talked about in April, the second one we talked about, you said was June 11th.

Q Let me ask it differently.

A I don't recall.

Q Do you remember -- do you recall Mr. Zahn pulling the senior leadership team together after the July 23 board meeting to discuss the decisions that were made at that board meeting?

A First of all, I don't remember the July 23rd board meeting. So I assume you're saying that's when the board instructed Aaron to move forward with -- with the ITN process. Is that correct?

Q Yes. It's also where the employment agreements were confirmed --

A Okay.

Page 40

A The PUP was never explained to me at a meeting or otherwise. Let me add this --

Q And by the way, I should have said at the outset of all this. As we're going through this discussion, if there are items of interest that -- or items that you think might be of interest to us, or more importantly to the board of directors --

A Right.

 $\ensuremath{Q}\ \mbox{ -- with respect to Mr. Zahn's tenure as CEO, I invite you to share them with us.$

A I appreciate that, and I'm trying not to be narrow in interpreting your questions. My memory is not great. I'll be 70 in a few months. And so I'm really just -- you know, I think I remember significant events, but when you said did you have a meeting on the 24th, I don't remember --

 $\,Q\,\,$ I feel your pain. I'm not far behind you. But come on, we do okay. So I know you're doing okay and I appreciate it.

So anyway back to the point. You were -- you were about to add something?

A I was going to add that I had a -- so I worked for Herschel Vinyard. He -- I didn't have many meetings with Herschel, but I had a few feedback sessions. They were like supposedly scheduled every week, but we

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

Page 41 Page 43 1 usually had about out of three of those. So I actually 1 Q -- from 2019. The second would be if you 2 only met with him three or four times in his tenure 2 could find the prior employment contract, that would be 3 3 nice. I understand you've searched for it. You here. 4 4 One of the times was my performance review, haven't --5 and he indicated to me at the performance review that 5 A I think that's not likely. 6 the amount of PUPs you could purchase would be related 6 Q All right. But the third would be the date of 7 7 somehow to your performance reviews. So a higher this performance review. 8 performance review would allow you to purchase more 8 A Okay. 9 9 PUPs. I recall him saying that to me. Q And if you could simply communicate that 10 I did not pursue that. I didn't ask any 10 back -questions, but I -- but that was mentioned to me at that A Okay. 11 11 12 12 -- to me via email --13 You know, the other thing he said there was 13 A It was fairly -- it was -- okay. I'll find 14 the date. It was -- well, we do performance reviews that he -- he said something like, this PUP program 14 15 is -- I don't remember his term, but the indication was 15 based on fiscal years. So it would be around 16 it was well along the way to being finished and that it 16 October 1st. Okay. 17 17 had approval by all the legal entities that needed to Now, we frequently try to get them done before 18 approve it. 18 October 1st. So my guess is it would have been in mid 19 19 He said that in the matter of saying I don't to late September, but we will try to find --20 know how much you know about the PUPs, but it's going 20 Q I would appreciate that, and I think you can 21 along well and it's gotten most of the regulatory 21 also appreciate that time is somewhat of a factor for 22 approvals and you need to know that your performance 22 23 23 measure will relate somehow to the amount of PUPs you Time's important. 24 24 The sooner you could find it, the better. can buy. Q 25 Q Okay. And this was at your performance review 25 Page 42 Page 44 1 1 meeting? Q Thank you. 2 A Yes. 2 MR. STONE: I'll work with him on that. 3 Q I'm going to guess that that date is probably 3 MR. POWELL: I appreciate it, Mitch. 4 4 BY MR. POWELL: somewhere on your calendar. I'm going to hope and ask 5 you, is that date marked clearly on your calendar 5 Q Along the lines of these performance units and 6 6 this discussion with Mr. Vinyard, do you recall any -- I somewhere? 7 A You know, the problem is -- yes. The problem 7 know that you said that he represented that the number 8 8 is my calendar will say two-way with Herschel. of units that an employee could purchase would be 9 9 related to their performance review. Q All right. 10 A I may -- I may have a notation on it and I can 10 Do you remember as well any expression by him 11 of classification of employees in terms of -- that had 11 look for that. 12 12 Q Would you have received a written review? some relation to the number of units an employee could 13 13 A No. Well, there's a written review in the 14 system, but the review just says "exceeds", "meets", and 14 A I don't recall him saying that. That seemed 15 15 "below". Okay. There is a written performance review. to be the general -- general knowledge somehow that it was related to your -- to your position. But I don't 16 16 Q Okay. 17 17 A And it may have the date on it. Well, it will know who first said that, and I don't recall it. 18 18 Again, we -- I and my kind of second-tier have the date. Yeah, we can probably find the date. 19 Q If you would. Mr. Stone is here, and I think 19 peers were very much out of the loop on the PUPs thing. 20 20 Q And thank you, because that is my impression he'll help you with --21 21 A Yeah. from this conversation. It's my impression from other 22 conversations. So let me just ask if you could confirm 22 Q -- any take-aways that we have here today. 23 One would be if you could look to see if you 23 that for me. 24 could find your written performance review --24 Would you agree that with the exception of 25 A Right. 25 Mr. Zahn, Mr. Vinyard, Mr. Wannemacher, and Ms. Dykes

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

	Page 45		Page 47
1	that as far as you know, no other members of the senior	1	how where I I heard that December 1 would be the
2	leadership team were included in any details related to	2	date. I don't recall.
3	the PUP?	3	Q In the course of your tenure as chief
4	A I think that's accurate. I don't know for a	4	compliance officer, have you had the occasion to request
5	fact all of those people were, although I believe they	5	the board take action on things for you?
6	were, you know, at least cognizant of what was going on,	6	A Yes. It's not often, but occasionally, yes.
7	but I can confirm that the folks at my peer level were	7	Q And when you have presented matters for board
8	not.	8	approval, would you have done your utmost to make sure
9	Q But yet at your performance review meeting	9	that you understood what it was you were asking the
10	with Mr. Vinyard which you think might have been toward	10	board to approve?
11	the end of September	11	A Yes.
12	A Right.	12	Q And is part of that because in making this
13	Q or early October because of the fiscal	13	any presentation to the board for an approval, you would
14	year	14	be in front of the board to answer questions about your
15	A Right.	15	proposal?
16	Q calendar, he indicated to you that the PUP	16	A That's exactly why, yes.
17	was well down the road and ready to be rolled out?	17	Q Given your position at JEA and your
18	A He didn't say ready to be rolled out, but he	18	understanding of the whether you call yourself a
19	said it was moving along nicely and it was going to be a	19	second-tier team or not
20	real thing and it would be a, you know and his point	20	A Right.
21	was everyone should be happy about that. It's going to	21	Q you're pretty close to the top of the
22	be good for good for JEA, good for the employees.	22	chain; correct?
23	But he didn't specifically say we're ready to	23	A Correct.
24	roll it out.	24	Q And you understand that the board of directors
25	Q Did you do you remember any discussion	25	set policy and is the authority for board policy and a
	2 2 2 3 9 0 1 0 9 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0		. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Page 46		Page 48
			rage 40
1	about the timing of	1	lot of decisions; correct?
1 2	about the timing of A No.	1 2	
	•		lot of decisions; correct?
2	A No.	2	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes.
2	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees?	2 3	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board
2 3 4	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP	2 3 4	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to
2 3 4 5	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees?	2 3 4 5	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes?
2 3 4 5 6	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't do this, but somehow I heard that December 1st would be	2 3 4 5 6	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't	2 3 4 5 6 7	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes. Q Would you ever contemplate presenting to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't do this, but somehow I heard that December 1st would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes. Q Would you ever contemplate presenting to the board something for approval without making sure that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't do this, but somehow I heard that December 1st would be the start date if everything went well, but I don't know who told me that. Q Do you remember hearing that before or after	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes. Q Would you ever contemplate presenting to the board something for approval without making sure that you fully understood what it was you were asking the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't do this, but somehow I heard that December 1st would be the start date if everything went well, but I don't know who told me that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes. Q Would you ever contemplate presenting to the board something for approval without making sure that you fully understood what it was you were asking the board to do?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't do this, but somehow I heard that December 1st would be the start date if everything went well, but I don't know who told me that. Q Do you remember hearing that before or after	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes. Q Would you ever contemplate presenting to the board something for approval without making sure that you fully understood what it was you were asking the board to do? A Well, again, I don't often present to the board, but I try very careful very hard when I present to the board that I understand what I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't do this, but somehow I heard that December 1st would be the start date if everything went well, but I don't know who told me that. Q Do you remember hearing that before or after your meeting with Mr. Vinyard?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes. Q Would you ever contemplate presenting to the board something for approval without making sure that you fully understood what it was you were asking the board to do? A Well, again, I don't often present to the board, but I try very careful very hard when I present to the board that I understand what I'm presenting and that I can answer any questions the board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't do this, but somehow I heard that December 1st would be the start date if everything went well, but I don't know who told me that. Q Do you remember hearing that before or after your meeting with Mr. Vinyard? A I don't know, and I don't believe he mentioned it. Q Whenever it was that you heard of a December 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes. Q Would you ever contemplate presenting to the board something for approval without making sure that you fully understood what it was you were asking the board to do? A Well, again, I don't often present to the board, but I try very careful very hard when I present to the board that I understand what I'm presenting and that I can answer any questions the board might ask me regarding that, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't do this, but somehow I heard that December 1st would be the start date if everything went well, but I don't know who told me that. Q Do you remember hearing that before or after your meeting with Mr. Vinyard? A I don't know, and I don't believe he mentioned it. Q Whenever it was that you heard of a December 1 start date, for want of a better description, do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes. Q Would you ever contemplate presenting to the board something for approval without making sure that you fully understood what it was you were asking the board to do? A Well, again, I don't often present to the board, but I try very careful very hard when I present to the board that I understand what I'm presenting and that I can answer any questions the board might ask me regarding that, yes. Q In that regard would you have expected the CEO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't do this, but somehow I heard that December 1st would be the start date if everything went well, but I don't know who told me that. Q Do you remember hearing that before or after your meeting with Mr. Vinyard? A I don't know, and I don't believe he mentioned it. Q Whenever it was that you heard of a December 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes. Q Would you ever contemplate presenting to the board something for approval without making sure that you fully understood what it was you were asking the board to do? A Well, again, I don't often present to the board, but I try very careful very hard when I present to the board that I understand what I'm presenting and that I can answer any questions the board might ask me regarding that, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't do this, but somehow I heard that December 1st would be the start date if everything went well, but I don't know who told me that. Q Do you remember hearing that before or after your meeting with Mr. Vinyard? A I don't know, and I don't believe he mentioned it. Q Whenever it was that you heard of a December 1 start date, for want of a better description, do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes. Q Would you ever contemplate presenting to the board something for approval without making sure that you fully understood what it was you were asking the board to do? A Well, again, I don't often present to the board, but I try very careful very hard when I present to the board that I understand what I'm presenting and that I can answer any questions the board might ask me regarding that, yes. Q In that regard would you have expected the CEO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't do this, but somehow I heard that December 1st would be the start date if everything went well, but I don't know who told me that. Q Do you remember hearing that before or after your meeting with Mr. Vinyard? A I don't know, and I don't believe he mentioned it. Q Whenever it was that you heard of a December 1 start date, for want of a better description, do you remember having any thoughts about, jeez, I still don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes. Q Would you ever contemplate presenting to the board something for approval without making sure that you fully understood what it was you were asking the board to do? A Well, again, I don't often present to the board, but I try very careful very hard when I present to the board that I understand what I'm presenting and that I can answer any questions the board might ask me regarding that, yes. Q In that regard would you have expected the CEO of JEA to have fully understood the details and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't do this, but somehow I heard that December 1st would be the start date if everything went well, but I don't know who told me that. Q Do you remember hearing that before or after your meeting with Mr. Vinyard? A I don't know, and I don't believe he mentioned it. Q Whenever it was that you heard of a December 1 start date, for want of a better description, do you remember having any thoughts about, jeez, I still don't know anything about the PUP and it's starting on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes. Q Would you ever contemplate presenting to the board something for approval without making sure that you fully understood what it was you were asking the board to do? A Well, again, I don't often present to the board, but I try very careful very hard when I present to the board that I understand what I'm presenting and that I can answer any questions the board might ask me regarding that, yes. Q In that regard would you have expected the CEO of JEA to have fully understood the details and ramifications of the performance unit plan at the time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't do this, but somehow I heard that December 1st would be the start date if everything went well, but I don't know who told me that. Q Do you remember hearing that before or after your meeting with Mr. Vinyard? A I don't know, and I don't believe he mentioned it. Q Whenever it was that you heard of a December 1 start date, for want of a better description, do you remember having any thoughts about, jeez, I still don't know anything about the PUP and it's starting on December 1st, question mark?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes. Q Would you ever contemplate presenting to the board something for approval without making sure that you fully understood what it was you were asking the board to do? A Well, again, I don't often present to the board, but I try very careful very hard when I present to the board that I understand what I'm presenting and that I can answer any questions the board might ask me regarding that, yes. Q In that regard would you have expected the CEO of JEA to have fully understood the details and ramifications of the performance unit plan at the time it was presented to the board for approval in July?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't do this, but somehow I heard that December 1st would be the start date if everything went well, but I don't know who told me that. Q Do you remember hearing that before or after your meeting with Mr. Vinyard? A I don't know, and I don't believe he mentioned it. Q Whenever it was that you heard of a December 1 start date, for want of a better description, do you remember having any thoughts about, jeez, I still don't know anything about the PUP and it's starting on December 1st, question mark? A No. Because the December 1st like I said,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes. Q Would you ever contemplate presenting to the board something for approval without making sure that you fully understood what it was you were asking the board to do? A Well, again, I don't often present to the board, but I try very careful very hard when I present to the board that I understand what I'm presenting and that I can answer any questions the board might ask me regarding that, yes. Q In that regard would you have expected the CEO of JEA to have fully understood the details and ramifications of the performance unit plan at the time it was presented to the board for approval in July? A I would expect that, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't do this, but somehow I heard that December 1st would be the start date if everything went well, but I don't know who told me that. Q Do you remember hearing that before or after your meeting with Mr. Vinyard? A I don't know, and I don't believe he mentioned it. Q Whenever it was that you heard of a December 1 start date, for want of a better description, do you remember having any thoughts about, jeez, I still don't know anything about the PUP and it's starting on December 1st, question mark? A No. Because the December 1st like I said, I never had anybody authoritatively tell me that. I may have even jumped to that conclusion, because when he told me it related to my performance review, well,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes. Q Would you ever contemplate presenting to the board something for approval without making sure that you fully understood what it was you were asking the board to do? A Well, again, I don't often present to the board, but I try very careful very hard when I present to the board that I understand what I'm presenting and that I can answer any questions the board might ask me regarding that, yes. Q In that regard would you have expected the CEO of JEA to have fully understood the details and ramifications of the performance unit plan at the time it was presented to the board for approval in July? A I would expect that, yes. Q I think you've indicated that you never were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't do this, but somehow I heard that December 1st would be the start date if everything went well, but I don't know who told me that. Q Do you remember hearing that before or after your meeting with Mr. Vinyard? A I don't know, and I don't believe he mentioned it. Q Whenever it was that you heard of a December 1 start date, for want of a better description, do you remember having any thoughts about, jeez, I still don't know anything about the PUP and it's starting on December 1st, question mark? A No. Because the December 1st like I said, I never had anybody authoritatively tell me that. I may have even jumped to that conclusion, because when he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes. Q Would you ever contemplate presenting to the board something for approval without making sure that you fully understood what it was you were asking the board to do? A Well, again, I don't often present to the board, but I try very careful very hard when I present to the board that I understand what I'm presenting and that I can answer any questions the board might ask me regarding that, yes. Q In that regard would you have expected the CEO of JEA to have fully understood the details and ramifications of the performance unit plan at the time it was presented to the board for approval in July? A I would expect that, yes. Q I think you've indicated that you never were informed of the number of units that you would have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A No. Q the rollout? Did you later come to find out when the PUP was on track to be rolled out to the employees? A No, I never did. I heard that it was effective December 1st. It was I probably shouldn't do this, but somehow I heard that December 1st would be the start date if everything went well, but I don't know who told me that. Q Do you remember hearing that before or after your meeting with Mr. Vinyard? A I don't know, and I don't believe he mentioned it. Q Whenever it was that you heard of a December 1 start date, for want of a better description, do you remember having any thoughts about, jeez, I still don't know anything about the PUP and it's starting on December 1st, question mark? A No. Because the December 1st like I said, I never had anybody authoritatively tell me that. I may have even jumped to that conclusion, because when he told me it related to my performance review, well,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	lot of decisions; correct? A Yes. Q And you appreciate the importance of the board being fully informed on matters before they're asked to take a vote on something; yes? A Yes. Q Would you ever contemplate presenting to the board something for approval without making sure that you fully understood what it was you were asking the board to do? A Well, again, I don't often present to the board, but I try very careful very hard when I present to the board that I understand what I'm presenting and that I can answer any questions the board might ask me regarding that, yes. Q In that regard would you have expected the CEO of JEA to have fully understood the details and ramifications of the performance unit plan at the time it was presented to the board for approval in July? A I would expect that, yes. Q I think you've indicated that you never were informed of the number of units that you would have been eligible to purchase; correct?

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

Page 49 Page 51 1 one medium or the other what the potential upside of the 1 BY MR. POWELL: 2 2 performance unit value could be in the event of a sale Q Okay. Did you always understand with 3 of JEA? 3 respect -- to the extent you understood or provided any 4 4 A I never heard a dollar number. I heard that information about the PUP, did you have a general 5 if JEA performed very well, it could be significant -- a 5 understanding that these units would not be 6 significant value to the employees who had bought PUPs. 6 automatically bestowed on employees, rather they had to 7 But I believe that was the term that was used with me. 7 be purchased? 8 It's just -- and that would have been in the discussion 8 A My understanding was they had to be purchased. 9 with Herschel, that it was significant -- would be a 9 BY MR. GRANAT: 10 significant --10 Q And was it ever explained to you or did you --Q Number. 11 was it your belief that a benefit that would be gained 11 12 A -- value. Yeah. 12 by the PUP would be still tied to individual performance 13 13 Q And that would be as far back as that or it was just company performance? What was --14 performance review meeting you had with him? 14 A What I was told at the meeting at the feedback 15 15 A Yes, that's correct. with Herschel is that the ability -- the number of PUPs you could purchase would be related to your performance 16 MR. POWELL: Sean, before I switch topics, do 16 17 17 you want to -- do you have any follow-up questions review. for long-term incentive plan, PUP related? 18 18 O Okay. 19 MR. GRANAT: Yeah. 19 A There was a second part to your question and I 2.0 BY MR. GRANAT: 20 lost it. I'm sorry. 21 Q Do you remember any management meetings after 21 Q And so that's what -- I guess that's what was 22 the PUP was passed on July 27, larger meetings with some 22 explained to you is what your performance has to do with 23 of the lower-level managers where questions were asked 23 this, is how many you could purchase? 24 by them about the PUP? 24 A That's correct. 25 A What I recall is we had several management 25 Q And then the value at the end of the day is Page 50 Page 52 1 1 meetings that had the format of questions and answers, just based on company performance? 2 2 A That's correct. where Melissa and Ryan and others would sit on the --3 sit on the stage and then take questions from the 3 Q Okay. And so what I'm hearing is that you 4 4 management. had -- you had very little explanation of the PUP and 5 5 And I do not specifically recall PUP you were not in any of the higher-level meetings where 6 questions, but I imagine there were, but I don't 6 the design or participation of the PUP or the parameters 7 7 specifically recall the questions. were discussed; is that right? 8 8 Q Okay. But it wasn't Aaron Zahn answering the A That's correct. 9 questions about the PUP in those meetings; it was Ryan 9 Q And were you ever in any meetings where --10 and Melissa? 10 where it was discussed who could participate in the PUP A I don't know. It could have been Aaron. 11 11 outside of JEA? 12 Q Okay. And --12 A No, I was not. 13 Q And so -- and specifically, there were two OGC 13 A I do recall Aaron talking about the PUPs in one of the meetings that I mentioned earlier, or the 14 attorneys that were at one point set up to participate. 14 15 15 long-term incentive. Did you ever have any discussions with Aaron 16 Q At any of those meetings after July 23rd when 16 or Herschel regarding that? 17 17 the PUP was passed, did Aaron speak to that group A No, I did not. The first time I even knew 18 18 encouraging them to participate in the PUP, explaining that was there, that they were considering outside was 19 that this is a benefit and we want all the employees to 19 after the board meeting, and when they started looking 20 20 participate, or did he have some other tenure, I guess, at this -- it came out in the newspaper. I was totally 21 21 unaware there were non-JEA people on the plan. as far as his view on participation? 22 22 A I don't recall in the group -- I've heard him Q On a regular day-to-day basis, did you have 23 say it's good for everyone and -- but I don't recall the 23 professional interaction with Lynne Rhode? 24 format. It might have been in that meeting, but I just 24 A Some, not much. Lynne was very much busy 25 don't know. 25 doing -- you know, working for Herschel and Aaron in

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

Page 53

this whole ITN thing. And so pretty much I did not interface with her professionally.

On a couple of occasions, I needed some legal -- particularly when she first started, I needed some help and so she provided that. I don't right now recall the subject. But then I started moving over and using the other OGC attorney --

Q Miriam --

2.0

2.4

A Miriam, yeah. Because we were pretty much told -- actually Herschel said that she was tied up doing all the work she was doing for them and pretty much asked us to route our work for Miriam.

Q So would Miriam help you with basically the day-to-day business as usual JEA issues not related to the ITN?

A Absolutely.

Q And Lynne -- it was your understanding Lynne was tied up with ITN matters?

A Yes.

MR. GRANAT: Okay.

BY MR. POWELL:

Q Let me switch gears, if I could, for a minute to another area that's within your responsibility and it's the physical security department and specifically public records.

Page 55 the public relation -- or the public records person

feels like it needs to be reviewed, they can send it up to the business unit to review it for accuracy and redactions. And so that step was implemented. We

agreed on that, drafted that policy, and have been
 operating in accordance with that policy.

Once we got that in place and operated with it, then -- and I didn't get a lot of pushback or comment back from Aaron on the public records.

Q Apart from these meetings with Kerri -- you're talking about Kerri Stewart now?

A Yeah.

Q And OGC lawyers, in any private meetings with Mr. Zahn did you get -- I'll use your term -- pushback from him regarding compliance with the Public Records Laws?

A Certainly not -- not after we had those meetings. Like I said, prior to having the two or three meetings -- I think it was two -- on that, he would -- quite frankly, would express surprise when he would find out that things like personal addresses were public record or -- you know, it's -- and frankly, that's not uncommon for people that come to JEA from outside the municipal world. They're always surprised at what -- how inclusive the Public Records Laws are.

Page 54

A Okay.

Q Do you recall any conversations with Mr. Zahn regarding the general topic of public records and more specifically JEA's responsibility to comply with the Florida Public Records Laws?

A So there was a meeting with Aaron and Jason Gabriel, and our OGC lawyer at the time was Jody Brooks, and Kerri where we talked about the process. Now, prior to that I cannot give you specific conversations, but Aaron would talk to me and say, you know, this public records is hard. It's going to be hard to do business. I'm not used to having to put everything in the public.

And I pretty much assured him that we didn't have a choice in that, you know, that we comply with the law on that, and that it was difficult but we could do it and that was the plan.

He suggested we -- he set up a meeting that I mentioned with Kerri, with Jody and Jason -- there was another lawyer there from OGC, but I don't recall who it was now -- where we looked and we went over our process with them and they pretty much developed a change to that process which basically -- and it actually more formalized the process. It actually is better. It's much more specific and a little more formal.

And then it provides for one last review. If

Page 56

And so, yeah, from time to time, he would say, I can't believe we've got to send this address out or whatever. But then we had -- like I said, we developed this process. Everybody got comfortable with the process, I think, and -- and I haven't had -- and I never had -- yeah, I didn't have any one-on-ones after that that I recall.

Q Okay. Did he ever direct you expressly or impliedly to not comply with a public records -- or not furnish a public record in response to a request that in your opinion was a disclosable record?

A No, he didn't. There were a few events where he -- we had the discussion, but he never -- I don't recall him ever ordering me to not send something in, no.

Q How about by implication?

A I don't know how to answer that. Again, he pushed back on some things, but we complied with the law. We would have the discussion, but then we would supply the data.

Q Okay. So from your perspective you don't recall an event or an incident where a record was not -- a record that was in the possession of JEA that was a releasable document and had been requested, that it was, for reasons directed by Mr. Zahn or through a culture

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

Page 57 Page 59 1 established by Mr. Zahn, not produced in response to the 1 A The second tier. 2 2 request? -- of the senior leadership team? 3 A The only thing that might fit that, I 3 A I think that's correct. Yeah, I think that's 4 4 recall -- and this was early on before we had developed 5 a process and I don't recall the data. But I recall he 5 Q All right. Since he became the permanent CEO 6 6 pushed back pretty hard and we were still talking about in November of '18, would you say that you've had a fair 7 it, and I was indicating that we had to produce the 7 opportunity to observe his performance as CEO? 8 8 document. And as it turns out, the City had the same A Yes. 9 9 document, and while we were talking about producing the Q So this is a broad question, and if we need to 10 document and discussing it, the City produced the 10 break it down, happy to do so. 11 document. And so the requestor said, I've got the A So can I qualify my last statement? 11 12 document, I'm fine. 12 Q Sure. Of course. 13 So I don't recall if we ever sent that out 13 A So when Aaron came in and changed the 14 separately or not. I do recall that one incident early 14 structure, operationally everything went to Melissa. 15 15 in -- early in Mr. Zahn's tenure. And so even though on paper I didn't work directly for 16 Q Do you recall what the document was --16 Melissa anymore, I worked for Herschel, all of the 17 17 A No, I don't recall what the document was. OGC operational issues, including a lot of stuff, most 18 had it -- or I'm sorry, COJ had it, and I'm not sure why 18 everything focused on Melissa. And I spent time talking 19 they would have had it. It may have been employment 19 with Melissa and working through. 20 2.0 issues. I don't recall. Aaron, from my perspective, was doing the 21 21 Q Did Mr. Zahn ever direct you with respect to strategic thing, and he was not at all involved in the 22 public records responses that no record needed to be 22 day-to-day operation. 23 Q Now, you said a minute ago you worked for 23 produced any earlier than five days after the request 24 24 Herschel. You didn't work for Herschel, did you? was received? 25 A I never recall being given a number. And we 25 A Pardon me? Page 58 Page 60 1 1 certainly produced them more than -- in shorter than Q I thought you said you -- after the change 2 five days. Now, a lot of them take a lot longer. 2 your direct report was Melissa; correct? 3 Q I'm aware, and I appreciate the challenge in 3 A No, after the change I reported to Herschel. 4 4 After he hired Herschel. Okay. When the change first responding to them. As you can, I think -- I hope you 5 5 understood my questions were not related to the fact happened, I reported to Melissa because there was no 6 that sometimes it takes a long time --6 Herschel. But he brought in a chief administrative 7 A No, I do understand. 7 officer at the same time that he brought in two lawyers 8 8 Q -- to get them as opposed to the desire that from OGC, and established this -- and -- and so 9 all publicly releasable records should be produced as 9 initially Mike Hightower and I worked for Herschel when 10 10 Herschel first came on. efficiently as possible. And would you say that that is how you run 11 11 Then Mike left and they hired Sherry, and she 12 worked for Herschel, and the two lawyers worked for 12 your unit? 13 13 Herschel and I worked for Herschel. A Yes. 14 Q I know you didn't meet with Mr. Zahn privately 14 Q Did the other members of the senior leadership 15 15 on a regular basis; correct? team report to Herschel after Mr. Vinyard came on? 16 16 A Correct. A Reported to Herschel? No. The only ones that 17 17 O You did meet with him one-on-one on occasion; reported to Herschel -- let me see. I don't want to get 18 18 this wrong -- is myself, Sherry, and Mike Hightower, two yes? 19 19 A Correct. OGC lawyers, and Paul Steinbrecher. Paul is the 20 You were on the same floor as his office? 20 environmental office. So we worked for Herschel. 21 Α Yes. 21 Everybody else worked for Melissa. 22 22 Q Would I be correct in understanding that you Q Do you know why Mike Hightower left? 23 had the same general degree of contact and exposure to 23 A No. 24 24 Mr. Zahn as any other member of what you've called the Q Have you had any discussions with 25 second tier --Mr. Hightower since his departure?

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

	Page 61		Page 63
1	A The only no, not significant discussions.	1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	He was at a JEA meeting where they presented him with	2	CERTIFICATE OF REFORTER
3	some awards, and it was a, hi, how are you doing, things	3	
4	are fine. But no substantive conversation with Mike	4	STATE OF FLORIDA
5	after he left.	5	COUNTY OF DUVAL
6	Q Okay. So back to your observation a couple of	6	
7	minutes ago that with the change in structure, it was	7	
8	your it is your view that Mr. Zahn really stayed out	8	I, HEATHER M. THOMAS, Court Reporter and
9	of the day-to-day operations of JEA and was would	9	Notary Public, State of Florida, was authorized to
10	you was it is it your impression that he was	10	and did stenographically report the foregoing
11	virtually full time focused on the development of the	11	proceedings; and that the transcript, pages 4
12	strategic plan?	12	through 64, is a true and accurate record of my
13	A I think he certainly was most of his time.	13	stenographic notes.
14	Now, maybe not 100 percent, but a large part of his time	14	I further certify that I am not a
15	and his work was on the strategic plan. In fact, that's	15	relative, or employee, or attorney, or counsel of
16	what he said when he would talk with us, you know, we're	16 17	any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in
17	doing the strategic stuff. You guys got to keep the	17	with the action, nor am I financially interested in this action.
18	safety numbers down and keep the operation numbers high	19	uns action.
19	and that's what that's what Melissa did.	20	DATED this 7th day of January, 2020.
20	Q Let me just, then, ask you a wrap-up question,	21	January, 2020.
21	and if you can if you have anything, we'd appreciate	22	the blood of the
22	it.		HEATHER M. THOMAS
23	So my final question would be, given your	23	COURT REPORTER
24	where you worked, where you were situated, the degree of	24	
25	exposure you had to Mr. Zahn, and in light of your	25	
	- CO		
	Page 62		
1	observation that he was pretty much fully focused on the		
2	development of the strategic plan, is there any other		
3	information you would want to share with the board of		
4	directors regarding Mr. Zahn's performance as CEO that		
5	you think might pertain to or bear on the issue of		
6	whether his conduct in a specific sense or any sort of		
7	general way would support the termination of his		
8	contract for cause?		
9 10	A I can't think of anything that I would add.		
10	MR. POWELL: I really appreciate your time		
11 12	this morning. THE WITNESS: Ves. sir. Thank you		
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Thank you. MR. POWELL: Okay. We have a wrap-up by our		
14	investigator here.		
15	MR. LINSNER: Mr. Hobson, do you have anything		
16	additional to offer or add?		
17	THE WITNESS: No.		
18	MR. LINSNER: Okay. Just be aware this is an		
19	ongoing OIG investigation and General Counsel		
20	investigation. You're being advised not to discuss		
21	the case with others.		
22	The interview is now concluded. The time is		
23	10:50 a.m.		
24	(Sworn statement concluded at 10:50 a.m.)		
25			

16 (Pages 61 to 63)

				I
A	61:7	approved 39:1	back 10:4 14:11	39:19,25 40:7
a.m 1:17,17 4:4	agree 35:12	approximately	14:13 17:3	47:5,7,10,13
62:23,24	44:24	32:22	24:7 25:22	47:14,24,25
Aaron 1:7 4:9	agreed 55:5	April 16:5 33:9	40:20 43:10	48:3,8,10,12
6:14 9:9 14:20	agreement 1:7	33:13 34:3,10	49:13 55:9	48:13,14,19
15:3,6,8,9,9,12	14:10	35:9 38:11	56:18 57:6	52:19 62:3
15:18,20 16:8	agreements	area 8:3 35:14	61:6	bought 49:6
16:12 18:2,13	38:24	53:23	bad 29:12	break 59:10
21:10 22:5,11	ahead 18:18	areas 6:2,25	based 33:4	brief 7:19 22:10
22:14 26:21	aid 25:9	asked 11:11	43:15 52:1	22:10
31:23 37:22	allow 41:8	17:14 24:14	basic 10:13	bring 20:23
38:21 50:8,11	alternate 20:7	29:1 31:11	basically 10:13	35:22
50:13,17 52:15	ambiguously	48:4 49:23	37:7 53:13	broad 59:9
52:25 54:6,10	15:2	53:12	54:22	Brooks 54:7
55:9 59:13,20	amount 10:20	asking 47:9 48:9	basis 12:16	brought 39:22
ability 30:24	41:6,23	assignment 6:12	52:22 58:15	60:6,7
51:15	answer 7:6	6:19	bear 62:5	business 19:14
able 7:13 24:4	26:14 29:3,15	assisting 4:8	becoming 16:23	20:15 35:5
25:23	47:14 48:14	assume 15:17	belief 51:11	53:14 54:11
Absolutely 31:2	56:17	38:20	believe 12:14,15	55:3
32:18 53:16	answered 19:2	assumed 15:17	12:20,21 13:5	businesses 23:1
accept 37:3	answering 50:8	assured 54:13	13:15 17:1	25:14
access 8:10	answers 7:12	assures 13:15	19:10,10 25:8	busted 37:25
accompanied	50:1	attempts 4:19	25:19,20 26:8	busy 52:24
6:5	anybody 29:14	attorney 6:6	30:22 33:21	buy 30:20 31:5,8
accountant 29:9	46:21	53:7 63:15,16	34:11 45:5	41:24
accuracy 55:3	anymore 59:16	attorneys 4:14	46:13 49:7	
accurate 45:4	anyway 40:20	52:14	56:2	<u> </u>
63:12	apart 35:15	audit 7:24,24	believes 35:1	calendar 21:15
action 47:5	55:10	audited 8:21	benefit 13:22,24	25:22 26:4,4
63:17,18	apparently	19:24	24:4 50:19	38:4 39:10
actively 8:22	18:18,20	audits 7:25	51:11	42:4,5,8 45:16
add 40:2,21,22	APPEARAN	authoritatively	best 7:12 33:25	call 8:10 15:7
62:9,16	2:1,7	46:21	bestowed 51:6	22:13 30:1
additional 62:16	appreciate	authority 19:25	better 43:24	47:18
address 13:9	21:14 40:11,19	20:3 47:25	46:16 54:23	called 8:13,24
56:2	43:20,21 44:3	authorized 4:17	big 23:18	19:9 21:8 22:3
addresses 55:21	48:3 58:3	11:2,16 63:9	bit 15:2 16:1	22:14 31:25
administer 4:17	61:21 62:10	automatically	17:5,6 21:13	58:24
administrative	appropriate	51:6	board 6:13 10:7	careful 48:12
17:11 60:6	17:24	available 26:6	11:3,16,20,22	Caren 15:23
advised 4:18	approval 41:17	awards 61:3	16:5,15 18:9	case 62:21
62:20	47:8,13 48:8	aware 28:7	19:4,5 20:7,8	cause 6:16 10:21
agency 19:22	48:19	36:22 58:3	24:19,20 25:1	62:8
ago 16:23 23:21	approvals 41:22	62:18	25:3 30:8 38:2	CE 20:20
27:15 31:11	approve 41:18		38:6,17,18,20	CEO 4:10 6:14
32:13 59:23	47:10	B	38:21 39:4,17	16:4,18 17:10

				Page 2
20:21 40:9	close 47:21	concept 28:20	12:17,18,21	currently 9:7
48:16 59:5,7	closely 8:1	28:24 34:24	13:2,9,11,13	cyber 8:9
62:4	cognizant 45:6	37:17,18	13:23 14:2,12	Cyber 6.5
certainly 17:3	COJ 57:18	concepts 37:16	43:2 62:8	D
17:21 27:22	come 14:11	concerning	contractor 32:3	D 3:1
30:20 31:3	40:18 46:4	35:13	contracts 10:10	data 56:20 57:5
32:13 34:7	48:25 55:23	concluded 62:22	10:24 11:11	date 1:16 9:22
36:4 55:17	comes 29:12	62:24	12:15 13:7	9:24 11:18
58:1 61:13	comfortable	conclusion	contrasting	21:14 25:23,25
CERTIFICA	56:4	46:22	27:25	37:1 42:3,5,17
3:7 63:1	comment 55:9	conduct 8:14,15	conversation	42:18,18 43:6
certify 63:14	commissioned	62:6	24:18,23 44:21	43:14 46:9,16
chain 47:22	4:16	conducted 4:2	61:4	47:2
challenge 58:3	common 21:3	confirm 7:18	conversations	DATED 63:20
challenges 34:21	25:13,15 27:16	44:22 45:7	28:25 44:22	dates 16:7 17:3
change 9:8	27:22 28:17	confirmed 38:24	54:2,9	39:9,9
10:17,17,18	communicate	conformity	copy 11:7,9,13	day 51:25 63:20
12:12,22 13:3	43:9	35:23	correct 12:14	day-to-day
13:4,6,16,24	communicatio	confusing 16:8	25:1 26:20	52:22 53:14
15:5 16:20,25	17:15,19	39:14	29:23 31:12	59:22 61:9
17:7,12 22:8,8	company 13:17	connect 35:17	32:4 33:15,17	days 16:11 38:7
35:4,4,7,18,19	31:25 51:13	connected 63:16	33:18 38:22	57:23 58:2
35:20 36:2,5,6	52:1	connection 6:11	47:22,23 48:1	debrief 39:4,5
36:19 54:21	compensation	consensus 37:19	48:23 49:15	December 6:12
60:1,3,4 61:7	28:11 29:7,7	consent 5:4	51:24 52:2,8	14:20 21:19
changed 20:2	29:13 30:13	consideration	58:15,16,19,22	46:7,8,15,19
36:20 37:13	35:15,20,22	33:11	59:3,4 60:2	46:20 47:1
59:13	36:9,19	considered 34:8	Council 19:18	decision 23:25
changes 4:13	competitive	considering	counsel 1:18 2:3	decisions 38:17
17:25	36:7	52:18	4:2,8 5:19,23	39:18 48:1
character 35:6	completely 7:6	considers 10:17	62:19 63:15,16	degree 27:7
charter 36:6	compliance 7:22	consulting 24:7	Counsel's 4:21	58:23 61:24
chief 7:22 9:19	8:12,13,20,24	contact 20:14	COUNTY 63:5	demonstrate
17:11 30:18	8:25 9:5,14,19	58:23	couple 6:25 17:6	8:19 19:24
47:3 60:6	19:24,25 20:3	contemplate	26:19,21 29:1	department
chime 5:25	20:5,14 30:18	12:18 48:7	29:14 37:14	5:24 8:3 53:24
choice 54:14	47:4 55:15	contemplated	38:7 53:3 61:6	departments
choose 17:22	complicated	12:12 13:7	course 6:24 17:8	7:23
CIP 8:24 9:4	20:14	36:4	31:15 36:1	departure 60:25
City 2:1 4:3,7	complied 56:18	context 9:12	47:3 59:12	deputy 5:23
57:8,10	complies 8:19	continue 17:21	court 1:21 7:10	describe 22:5
clarify 15:1	comply 8:14 9:3	continued 23:15	63:8,23	described 16:21
classification	19:23 54:4,14	contract 6:16	critical 8:25	22:17 24:3
44:11	56:9	10:8,12,14,19	culture 56:25	description 7:19
clear 15:19	complying 8:17	10:22,25 11:10	current 7:21	22:2 46:16
clearly 7:14	components	11:12,15 12:1	12:1 35:2,14	design 52:6
36:16 42:5	12:18	12:4,6,7,8,10	35:25	designated

		•		•
19:22 20:14	26:3,7 27:15	East 2:9	49:2 56:22	factor 43:21
desire 58:8	28:3,14 32:24	effect 10:25	events 39:18	fair 28:12 59:6
details 10:14,23	33:1,3,12,20	effective 46:7	40:14 56:12	fairly 43:13
45:2 48:17	34:3,4,9 35:12	efficiently 58:10	Eventually	false 4:18
determine 6:14	35:24 40:5	either 13:17	29:19	familiar 14:22
7:3 28:17	44:6 45:25	28:17 35:3	everybody	32:1
develop 32:17	49:8 56:13,19	46:25	20:23 22:18	far 40:17 45:1
35:22	discussions 12:3	electric 8:13	56:4 60:21	49:13 50:21
developed 54:21	28:22 29:18	19:17 34:24	evolved 29:20	feedback 37:21
56:3 57:4	33:7 34:14	eligible 48:23	exact 9:22	40:24 51:14
developing 32:8	52:15 60:24	email 43:12	exactly 28:6	feel 40:17
33:4 37:12	61:1	employed 4:5	34:18 47:16	feels 55:2
development	document 56:24	employee 8:9	EXAMINATI	felt 17:24
25:9 37:5	57:8,9,10,11	44:8,12 63:15	3:5 5:16	figure 26:7 38:4
61:11 62:2	57:12,16,17	employees 26:12	exceeds 42:14	final 61:23
difference 24:16	documentation	44:11 45:22	exception 44:24	finance 9:13
different 10:15	31:9	46:5 49:6	execute 12:2	financially
12:11 36:5	doing 21:6 25:11	50:19 51:6	executed 11:2	63:17
differentiating	29:12 40:18	employment 1:7	11:25 12:6	financials 29:12
23:6	52:25 53:11,11	5:24 6:15 10:8	exercises 37:15	find 11:8,10,13
differently 35:5	59:20 61:3,17	10:10,12,14,22	Exhibits 3:12	11:13 12:5
38:13	dollar 49:4	10:24 11:15	exist 6:15	42:18,24 43:2
difficult 54:15	down-the-road	13:20 14:11	expect 39:21	43:13,19,24
direct 15:3,20	12:19 13:10	38:23 43:2	48:20	46:4 55:20
56:8 57:21	34:6	57:19	expected 48:16	fine 12:5 30:2
60:2	downsides 37:17	encouraging	explain 17:12	37:1 57:12
directed 56:25	drafted 55:5	50:18	explained 22:20	61:4
directly 20:13	drastically	enforcement	22:21 39:19,25	fines 9:2
36:13 59:15	10:15	19:25 20:3	40:1 51:10,22	finish 7:11 11:1
director 9:4	drives 29:7	engineer 29:9	explaining	27:8
16:4,18 20:21	duly 5:14	ensure 8:19	50:18	finished 27:20
directors 6:13	duties 7:19 8:2	entire 26:16,25	explanation	41:16
40:7 47:24	15:18	27:4	52:4	firm 25:10
62:4	Duval 1:18 2:3	entities 41:17	explore 6:2	first 5:14 7:23
disclosable	63:5	entitled 30:17	exposure 58:23	10:24 11:16
56:11	Dykes 14:21	environmental	61:25	12:9 18:9,12
disclosure 5:9	39:18 44:25	60:20	express 55:20	21:7,11,21,22
discuss 38:17		espoused 34:23	expression	21:23,25 22:4
62:20	E	ESQUIRE 2:2,2	44:10	30:3,10 33:10
discussed 27:4	E 3:1	2:12	expressly 56:8	35:11 38:10,19
28:22 36:14	Eads 15:11	established 57:1	extent 51:3	44:17 52:17
39:19 52:7,10	earlier 11:10	60:8		53:4 60:4,10
discussing 26:13	29:20 33:7	estimate 21:21	<u> </u>	fiscal 43:15
57:10	50:14 57:23	ethics 9:7,9,14	facilities 8:6	45:13
discussion 20:25	early 24:9 37:25	9:16,18	fact 22:11 33:25	fit 57:3
21:7,25 22:11	45:13 57:4,14	event 14:6 16:14	39:3 45:5 58:5	five 7:22 32:13
24:13,25 25:17	57:15	17:7,9 39:21	61:15	32:21,22 57:23

	<u> </u>			. rage i
58:2	functions 8:5	51:9 53:20	53:5,13	implication
FL 2:4,9	funny 19:19	great 40:13	helping 32:17	36:18 56:16
floor 58:20	furnish 56:10	grounds 6:14	Herschel 15:8	implied 28:6
Florida 1:19	further 18:18	groundwork 6:3	15:22 17:4,5	impliedly 56:9
4:17 5:10	63:14	group 7:23,24	40:23,24 42:8	importance 48:3
19:17,17,20,20	future 34:20	8:4,6,7,7,11,18	49:9 51:15	important 10:2
19:22 54:5		8:24 9:3,6,6,10	52:16,25 53:10	43:23
63:4,9	G	9:14,15 14:22	59:16,24,24	importantly
focused 59:18	Gabriel 54:7	19:19 20:5	60:3,4,6,9,10	40:7
61:11 62:1	gained 51:11	21:6 29:16	60:12,13,13,15	impression
folks 14:18	gears 53:22	50:17,22	60:16,17,20	44:20,21 61:10
15:23 45:7	general 1:18 2:3	groups 7:22	hi 61:3	impromptu 18:3
follow-up 49:17	2:7 4:2,6,8,8	8:12 9:5 19:13	high 61:18	24:23
following 16:4	4:21 5:19,23	19:15 20:11	higher 41:7	incentive 22:20
follows 5:15	8:2 22:18 29:2	guards 8:4	higher-level	23:4,4 24:11
foregoing 63:10	30:23 33:8	guess 16:10	52:5	24:24 25:6
forensics 8:1	34:23 35:16	36:18 38:9	Hightower 60:9	27:1,3,14 28:3
forget 15:13	37:19 44:15,15	42:3 43:18	60:18,22,25	28:21 29:18,19
form 14:3	51:4 54:3	50:20 51:21	hire 24:6	30:12 31:23
formal 6:1 54:24	58:23 62:7,19	guys 61:17	hired 15:8 16:8	32:25 33:4,21
formalized	General's 4:20		16:9 17:4	49:18 50:15
54:23	General-City	H	32:12 60:4,11	incentives 22:7
format 50:1,24	2:8	half 21:21,23	history 10:11	23:14 33:8,11
former 4:10	genesis 14:12	37:10	Hobson 1:14 3:3	incident 56:22
Forsyth 2:9	getting 7:2	Hallway 28:25	4:11,24 5:13	57:14
forward 17:24	give 25:23 54:9	hand 4:23	5:18 6:11	included 9:12
38:21	given 31:3,5	happened 60:5	62:15	22:6 31:17
four 32:21,22	47:17 57:25	happening 14:8	hold 11:1	45:2
41:2	61:23	happy 7:8 17:21	honest 23:11	includes 14:20
frame 9:23	giving 18:19,21	45:21 59:10	hope 42:4 58:4	including 9:4
21:18,20	go 17:2 20:16	hard 48:12	housed 9:16	59:17
frankly 37:13	goals 37:8	54:11,11 57:6	HR 9:18 11:5,9	inclusive 55:25
55:20,22	goes 19:4,5	he'll 42:20	11:10 12:3	independent
FRCC 8:14 9:1	going 5:25 6:3	hear 22:5 30:4,5	T	28:13,16
19:18,24 20:2	17:16 21:5	heard 22:4 25:4	IAM 8:11	indicated 23:22
20:6,8,8	26:4 40:4,22	26:15 27:11 30:11 33:10	IAM 8:11 ID 8:9	24:9 41:5
FRCC's 8:15,16	41:20 42:3,4 45:6,19,21	30:11 33:10 35:11 46:6,8	idea 29:2 30:22	45:16 48:21
19:21	45:6,19,21 54:11	35:11 46:6,8 46:15 47:1	idea 29:2 30:22 identified 6:25	indicating 29:12
frequently 39:3	good 5:18 6:8,9	49:4,4 50:22	identity 8:8,9	57:7
43:17	17:5,5 20:17	49:4,4 50:22 hearing 46:11	imagine 50:6	indication 41:15
Friday 22:15	22:7 29:13	52:3	immediately	individual 51:12
26:1	37:17 45:22,22	Heather 1:21	12:2	inducements 5:3
front 47:14	45:22 50:23	63:8,22	implementation	industry 19:13
full 61:11	gotten 41:21	held 21:1	39:7	19:15 34:25
fully 48:4,9,17 62:1	Granat 2:2 5:22	help 21:13 31:20	implemented	35:23 information
62:1 function 20:9	20:18 49:19,20	39:11 42:20	55:4	30:14 51:4
1 unction 20:9	20.10 77.17,20	37.11 72.20		30.14 31.4
-	l	l	l	l

	l	l	ı	İ
62:3	invite 40:10	job 7:19 8:18	42:7 44:7,17	light 61:25
informed 30:16	involved 25:21	16:25	45:1,4,6,20	liked 17:14
48:4,22	33:6 59:21	Jody 54:7,18	46:9,13,18,25	lines 18:19
infrastructure	involving 12:12	Julio 15:13,16	46:25 50:11,25	21:22 44:5
8:25	IOUs 23:1 27:23	Julio's 15:13	52:25 54:10,14	linkage 25:5
initial 18:24,25	27:25	July 10:7 11:3	55:22 56:17	Linsner 2:8 4:1
initially 15:12	issue 8:9 17:19	11:16 24:19,20	58:14 60:22	4:5 5:2,7,12
15:13 23:13	62:5	24:25 25:2	61:16	62:15,18
24:25 60:9	issued 30:21	30:8 38:2,6,17	knowing 25:2	list 14:18 31:7
inquiry 28:16	issues 14:12	38:19 39:17,19	knowledge	literally 7:4
Inspector 2:7,8	17:16 53:14	39:24 48:19	44:15	little 15:2 16:1
4:6,7,20 8:2	57:20 59:17	49:22 50:16		18:16 21:13
installed 15:6	items 40:5,6	jumped 46:22	L	24:15 52:4
instance 25:3	ITN 38:22 53:1	June 19:21 20:2	language 12:21	54:24
instructed 38:21	53:15,18	36:22 37:1,4	large 61:14	long 7:16 9:19
insurance 9:13		38:12	larger 49:22	15:6 58:6
9:13	<u>J</u>		late 43:19	long-term 21:8
interaction	J 2:2	K	law 5:9 54:15	21:10 22:6,20
52:23	Jacksonville	keep 13:17,19	56:19	23:1,4,22,25
interest 7:1 40:5	1:19 2:1,4,8,9	13:19 29:25	laws 5:10 54:5	24:11,24 25:6
40:6	4:3,7	61:17,18	55:16,25	25:10 26:25
interested 63:17	January 1:16	keeping 8:10	lawyer 54:7,19	27:3,14 28:2,8
interface 53:2	4:3 63:20	Kerri 54:8,18	lawyers 55:13	28:21 29:7,18
interim 16:4,10	Jason 54:6,18	55:10,11	60:7,12,19	29:19 30:12
internal 7:24,25	JEA 1:7 4:10	kind 10:10	lay 6:3	31:22 32:3,8
interpreting	6:13,14 7:15	15:17,18,21	leadership 13:3	32:17,25 33:4
40:12	8:19,22 10:8	16:12 18:20	14:16,19,24	33:8,11,12,21
interview 4:1,19	10:18 12:13,19	26:13 27:11	16:20 20:24	49:18 50:15
5:8 62:22	13:10,12,23	35:9 37:14	21:3 22:2,13	longer 17:10
interviewed	14:8,16 17:8	44:18	22:15,25 23:19	26:22 58:2
4:11	18:15 19:12,15	knew 7:3,4	25:20 26:16,25	look 11:12 17:3
interviewing	20:6,6,12	27:12 52:17	27:4 28:23	18:17 26:4,6
4:14	22:12,18,22,23	know 7:8,15	33:17 34:5	29:2,5 38:4
introduce 5:22	23:15,16 26:6	10:11,17 11:4	35:6 36:21	42:11,23
investigation	32:3,7,11,17	11:13 13:1	38:2,7,16 45:2	looked 11:8
4:9,12,20,21	34:6,21,25	15:22 16:8,8	59:2 60:14	54:20
62:19,20	35:1,13,22,24	16:11 17:1,16	learn 24:15	looking 9:15
investigations	36:6 45:22	17:22 18:22	learned 48:25	12:20,24 13:2
8:1	47:17 48:17	19:8,13 21:1	leave 23:23,24	52:19
investigator 2:8	49:3,5 52:11	23:7,24 26:14	23:25 24:8,12	loop 44:19
4:5 62:14	53:14 55:23	27:6,6,7,8,10	leaving 24:17	lost 36:3 51:20
investment 21:8	56:23 61:2,9	27:11,24 28:5	led 34:15	lot 17:17 48:1
21:10 28:8,21	JEA's 20:13,13	29:8,14 30:6,9	left 15:16 24:14	55:8 58:2,2
investor-own	25:10 54:4	30:20 31:2	60:11,22 61:5	59:17
27:17	JEA-related	36:11 38:3,9	legal 41:17 53:4	lower-level
investor-owned	5:21	40:14,18 41:13	let's 16:1 30:1	49:23
25:13	jeez 46:17	41:20,20,22	level 27:6 45:7	lunch 19:9 21:4

21:4	24:20 25:1,3	mention 14:13	45:19 53:6	nonexistent
luncheon 20:24	25:20,24,25	21:7,11,25	municipal 55:24	28:18
Lynne 52:23,24	26:5,6,9,11	mentioned	municipal-ow	notable 17:7,9
53:17,17	27:5,9,12 30:8	23:19 27:15	28:7	Notary 4:16
33.17,17	31:18,20 33:9	29:14 41:11	municipally	63:9
M	33:13,16,19	46:13 50:14	28:1	notation 42:10
M 1:21 63:8,22	34:2,4,10,15	54:18	municipals	notes 26:10,11
making 23:24	34:17 35:10	met 5:19 18:10	25:15 27:23	63:13
27:19 47:12	36:5,14,22,25	37:6 39:6 41:2	munis 28:4	notified 4:13
48:8	37:11,20,25	metric 29:2,4,11		November
management	38:1,2,6,17,18	29:15 30:13	N	16:15,15 21:17
9:11,12 22:12	38:20 39:2,5	metrics 33:4	N 3:1	21:18 59:6
22:14 27:12	39:15,16,17,17	mid 43:18	name 15:14	number 6:2,25
31:18,20 35:6	39:19,20,23,25	mid-August	31:12	19:8 31:6
49:21,25 50:4	40:1,15 41:12	38:8	narrow 6:17	32:22,23 44:7
managers 49:23	42:1 45:9	middle 16:10	40:12	44:12 48:22
managing 16:4	46:12 49:14	29:13 30:10	nature 5:3 35:13	49:4,11 51:15
16:18 20:21	50:24 51:14	Mike 60:9,11,18	necessitating	57:25
mark 46:19	52:19 54:6,17	60:22 61:4	36:1	numbers 29:17
marked 42:5	61:2	mind 39:22	need 12:4 13:13	29:17 30:21
matter 41:19	meetings 18:8	mine 17:3	20:16 35:3	31:1,2 61:18
matters 7:3 47:7	19:9 21:2	minute 14:13	36:19 41:22	61:18
48:4 53:18	22:14,15 28:25	27:15 31:11	59:9	
McElroy 9:21	32:20,25 33:2	53:22 59:23	needed 17:22,23	0
McElroy's 10:4	34:2 38:8,10	minutes 61:7	18:17 41:17	oaths 4:17
McKinsey 32:1	39:4,4,8,12,13	Miriam 53:8,9	53:3,4 57:22	observation
32:3,11,12,15	39:24 40:23	53:12,13	needs 35:7 55:2	61:6 62:1
32:21 33:1,2,6	49:21,22 50:1	missing 15:10	neither 12:6	observe 59:7
33:19,22 34:1	50:9,14,16	misspoke 28:5	NERC 20:14	obstruct 4:19
37:6,10,23	52:5,9 55:10	misstatement	net 29:8	obtain 5:4
mean 13:11,20	55:13,18,19	8:16	never 15:19 27:7	occasion 47:4
26:3,6 29:4,6	meets 42:14	misunderstood	27:13 29:17	58:17
29:17 34:18,20	Melissa 14:20	24:5	31:9 40:1 46:6	occasionally
35:18	15:4,7,23	Mitch 2:12 6:5,6	46:21 48:21	47:6
means 13:3	16:11,12 20:8	44:3	49:4 56:6,13	occasions 53:3
measure 41:23	39:12,18 50:2	month 21:14	57:25	occur 16:23
medium 49:1	50:10 59:14,16	22:16 26:1	new 11:11 12:4	occurring 24:25
meet 26:16,21	59:18,19 60:2	months 12:7	12:7,8 13:7,17	October 43:16
58:14,17	60:5,21 61:19	17:6 23:20	newspaper	43:18 45:13
meeting 6:17	member 14:24	34:24 40:13	52:20	46:24
10:7 11:3,20	19:10 20:8	morning 5:18	nice 43:3	off-site 33:16
11:22 13:15	58:24	6:8,9 62:11	nicely 45:19	36:21
16:5,15 18:1,2	members 14:19	mouth 23:10	non-JEA 52:21	offer 62:16
18:5,12,16,24	28:23 33:24	move 38:21	non-municipa	office 1:18 2:3,7
18:25 19:6	34:4 45:1	moved 9:9,13,14	25:14	2:8 4:2,6,7,8
20:24 22:13,25	60:14	17:4	non-publicly	4:20 5:19,24
23:18,19 24:19	memory 40:12	moving 17:24	25:14	6:12 8:2 58:20

	I	I	I	I
60:20	operative 24:1	parties' 63:16	28:11	potential 22:6
officer 7:22 9:7	opinion 56:11	passed 49:22	phrase 30:1	49:1
9:9,14,16,20	opportunities	50:17	physical 8:4	Powell 2:2 3:6
17:11 30:18	24:7 33:3	Paul 9:21,21	53:24	4:15 5:17,18
47:4 60:7	opportunity	15:4,4 20:9,9	pick 39:9	6:8,10 28:15
OGC 52:13 53:7	59:7	60:19,19	pieces 12:17	44:3,4 49:16
54:7,19 55:13	opposed 58:8	Paul's 15:3	place 1:18 24:19	51:1 53:21
57:17 60:8,19	order 5:4	pay 10:20 13:18	25:18 55:7	62:10,13
OIG 62:19	ordering 56:14	13:20	plan 21:9,11	PowerPoint
okay 5:2,12 6:24	organization	peer 45:7	22:3,5,6,20	19:1
7:21 10:3	15:24 19:19	peers 37:22	23:4,5,25	preached 35:8
16:17,19 18:21	35:6,7 36:20	44:19	24:11,24 25:6	preparation
20:16,17,19	organizational	penalty 9:2	25:10 27:1,4	13:14
23:17,20 26:15	15:5	people 8:18 9:4	27:14 28:9,21	present 2:12
27:13 30:1	original 12:8	22:12 25:21	28:21 29:8,19	33:19,22,23,23
32:14 36:15,17	outcomes 36:5	29:1,14 31:8	29:21 30:5,12	33:24 34:12
38:6,25 39:2	outset 40:4	45:5 52:21	30:13 32:9,17	37:11 48:11,13
39:13 40:18,18	outside 19:12	55:23	32:25 33:4	presentation
41:25 42:15,16	20:11 52:11,18	percent 61:14	39:6,7 48:18	12:8 22:1
43:8,11,13,16	55:23	performance	49:18 52:21	26:23,24 27:13
43:25 50:8,12	owned 25:14,14	22:3,5,7 23:14	54:16 61:12,15	31:17 34:16,19
51:2,18 52:3	28:1	29:21 30:5,25	62:2	47:13
53:20 54:1	ownership	41:4,5,7,8,22	planning 8:20	presentations
56:8,21 60:4	10:18 12:23	41:25 42:15,24	plans 18:22	29:1
61:6 62:13,18	13:6,16,24	43:7,14 44:5,9	23:23 28:17	presented 11:17
old 11:12 12:5	22:8,9 35:5,18	45:9 46:23,24	please 4:18,23	11:19,23 22:11
once 27:10 55:7	36:5	48:18 49:2,14	7:5,20	27:9 31:19,23
One's 8:12		51:12,13,16,22	point 6:17 24:15	37:12 47:7
one-on-one	<u>P</u>	52:1 59:7 62:4	34:25 35:1	48:19 61:2
20:24 58:17	pages 63:11	performed 49:5	40:20 45:20	presenting 34:1
one-on-ones	pain 40:17	period 30:21	52:14	48:7,14
56:6	paper 59:15	periodically	pointed 23:23	president 7:21
one-person 9:6	parameters 52:6	26:17	policy 35:15,22	30:17
ones 10:18 12:11	Pardon 59:25	permanent	47:25,25 55:5	pretty 10:13
60:16	part 11:5 13:18	16:16,24 17:2	55:6	19:2 37:20,20
ongoing 62:19	14:13 34:9	20:20 21:17	Ponte 33:14,15	37:22 47:21
operated 55:7	47:12 51:19	59:5	34:4 36:22	53:1,9,11
operating 55:6	61:14	permanently	37:3 38:8,10	54:13,21 57:6
operation 59:22	participate 8:22	16:10	poor 37:13	62:1
61:18	50:18,20 52:10	person 31:5 55:1	position 7:18	previous 10:11
operational	52:14	personal 55:21	9:22 14:14	10:18,25 12:11
15:23 39:13,17	participation	perspective 13:9	30:25 44:16	12:15 14:9
59:17	31:14 32:19	56:21 59:20	47:17	previously 9:11
operationally	50:21 52:6	pertain 62:5	possession 56:23	9:18
59:14	particular 37:20	philosophical	possibility 24:4	prior 10:7 13:23
operations 8:20	particularly	29:18	33:10 34:5	14:20 16:23
61:9	53:4	philosophies	possible 58:10	18:7 24:19

32:10 38:2	57:22 63:9	47:14 48:14	50:23 53:6	14:12 30:24
43:2 54:8	publicly 58:9	49:17,23 50:1	54:2,19 56:7	31:9 33:11
55:18	pulling 38:16	50:3,6,7,9 58:5	56:14,22 57:4	41:6 44:9,16
private 18:1,2,7	PUP 30:1,5,11	quite 35:15	57:5,5,13,14	45:2 46:23
19:6 55:13	30:14 39:1,25	55:20	57:16,17,20,25	49:18 51:16
privately 58:14	40:1 41:14		recalled 26:8	53:14 58:5
probably 11:21	45:3,16 46:4	R	recap 23:7	relation 35:21
21:23 26:22	46:18 49:18,22	raise 4:23	recapitalization	44:12 55:1
32:22 42:3,18	49:24 50:5,9	ramifications	12:25 13:6	relationship
46:7	50:17,18 51:4	48:18	24:2	35:24
problem 42:7,7	51:12 52:4,6	rate 15:20	receive 30:13	relative 63:15
proceeding 6:22	52:10	read 13:13,14	received 6:12	releasable 56:24
proceedings	PUPs 22:19	reading 18:19	42:12 57:24	58:9
63:11	30:24 31:9	ready 45:17,18	recollection	reliability 8:16
process 38:22	41:6,9,20,23	45:23	33:25	19:17,18
39:8 54:8,20	44:19 49:6	real 45:20	record 28:14	remember 9:22
54:22,23 56:4	50:13 51:15	really 17:20	55:22 56:10,11	9:24 10:12,14
56:5 57:5	purchase 30:17	23:10,11 26:14	56:22,23 57:22	10:23 11:5,6
processes 8:5	30:24 41:6,8	35:1 40:13	63:12	16:7 17:7
produce 57:7	44:8,13 48:23	61:8 62:10	recorded 4:1 5:8	23:12,25 26:13
produced 5:14	51:16,23	reason 7:2 14:14	records 5:9 8:7	27:3 31:4,4
57:1,10,23	purchased 51:7	17:20	53:25 54:3,5	34:16 38:15,19
58:1,9	51:8	reasons 29:9	54:11 55:1,9	40:14,16 41:15
producing 57:9	pursuant 5:9	56:25	55:15,25 56:9	44:10 45:25
professional	pursue 41:10	recall 11:18,19	57:22 58:9	46:11,17 49:21
52:23	pushback 55:8	11:21 13:4,22	redactions 55:4	rephrase 7:9
professionally	55:14	14:1,9 16:3	referenced 25:8	report 20:5 60:2
53:2	pushed 56:18	17:1,23 18:5,5	27:10 28:2	60:15 63:10
program 41:14	57:6	19:6 21:7,11	referred 14:15	reported 1:21
project 35:22	put 4:4 9:15,21	21:25 22:4,10	29:20	15:4,4,18,22
promises 5:2	23:9 54:12	22:17,19,24	regard 48:16	15:23 19:23
proposal 47:15	puts 37:3	23:6,18 24:18	regarding 4:9	60:3,5,16,17
proposar 47.13	putting 23:2	24:22,24 25:2	26:24 32:25	reporter 1:21
4:22	putting 23.2	25:3,5,17	48:15 52:16	3:7 7:10,13
protection 8:25	Q	26:23 27:14	54:3 55:15	63:1,8,23
14:4	qualify 59:11	30:7,10 31:3	62:4	reporting 17:10
provide 7:18	quarter 21:22	31:10,19 32:12	Regional 20:4	17:10
21:20	question 7:7,8	32:24 33:1,5	regular 52:22	reports 15:3,20
provided 51:3	11:4 12:9	33:20 34:1,3	58:15	represent 8:22
53:5	31:25 36:3,8,8	34:22 36:24,25	regulation 9:2	16:14 19:15
provides 54:25	46:19 51:19	37:2,16,24	regulators 8:23	20:11
public 4:16 5:8	59:9 61:20,23	38:3,5,7,14,15	regulatory	representative
5:9 8:7 27:18	questioning 6:1	39:3,8,16,20	19:22 41:21	20:6
53:25 54:3,5	questions 6:4,18	39:23 41:9	relate 36:9	represented
54:10,12 55:1	6:20 7:5 19:2	44:6,14,17	41:23	19:12 20:6
55:1,9,15,21	20:19 24:14	47:2 49:25	related 6:18 7:1	25:12 44:7
55:25 56:9,10	40:12 41:11	50:5,7,13,22	8:9 13:24	request 47:4
22.22 30.5,10			0.7 10.21	2344000 1711
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	Ī	l	ı	İ
56:10 57:2,23	road 12:12	47:19	simple 10:22	started 6:22
requested 56:24	13:12 14:13	section 18:11	simply 6:2 7:13	35:9 52:19
requestor 57:11	45:17	security 8:4,4,5	43:9	53:4,6
required 9:2	Robert 2:8 4:5	8:8,8,11 53:24	sir 13:25 36:10	starting 46:18
17:24	roll 45:24	see 10:2 31:7,17	62:12	State 4:16 5:10
respect 31:25	rolled 45:17,18	35:21 42:23	sit 17:12 50:2,3	63:4,9
40:9 51:3	46:5	60:17	sitting 39:15	statement 1:12
57:21	rollout 46:3	seen 17:17 29:15	situated 61:24	5:5 27:8 59:11
responding 58:4	Romero 15:15	29:16 30:21	SL 28:2	62:24
response 12:9	15:16	send 55:2 56:2	slides 19:2	statements 4:18
56:10 57:1	route 53:12	56:14	SLT 15:3,21	7:11
responses 57:22	rules 8:15 35:4	senior 14:16,19	19:10 32:20	States 28:8,18
responsibilities	36:6	14:24 16:20	37:6 39:13	status 4:13
7:20	run 39:17 58:11	20:23 21:3	SLTs 18:10 27:9	stay 35:7
responsibility	Ryan 14:21 15:9	22:2 26:16,25	sold 13:12 22:22	stayed 61:8
53:23 54:4	50:2,9	27:4 28:23	22:23 23:16	Steinbrecher
responsible 7:25		33:16 34:5	somebody 15:10	60:19
8:13,17 18:12	<u>S</u>	36:21 38:1,7	somewhat 43:21	stenographic
restate 27:24	safety 61:18	38:16 45:1	sooner 43:24	63:13
retire 23:23	salary 13:16	59:2 60:14	sorry 27:19	stenographica
retitled 22:14	sale 12:12,19	sense 46:25 62:6	51:20 57:18	63:10
review 6:13	13:10 34:6	sent 57:13	sort 20:25 36:1	step 55:4
30:25 41:4,5,8	49:2	separately 57:14	62:6	Stephen 2:2
41:25 42:12,13	saw 27:7,13	September	sound 16:5	4:15
42:14,15,24	29:16,17 31:9	43:19 45:11	Southeast 20:4	Steve 5:18 15:22
43:7 44:9 45:9	37:14	SERC 20:4,5,7	speak 7:5 50:17	Stewart 55:11
46:23 49:14	saying 24:10	services 7:24	specific 18:22	Stone 2:12 6:5,6
51:17 54:25	29:25 38:20	sessions 40:24	25:3 34:22	6:8,9 42:19
55:3	41:9,19 44:14	set 29:13 47:25	39:8,9,23 54:9	44:2
reviewed 55:2	says 42:14	52:14 54:17	54:24 62:6	straight 7:9
reviews 41:7	scenario 22:22	share 18:22 21:5	specifically	straightforward
43:14 46:24	22:22 23:15	21:5 40:10	23:20 37:2	10:19
reward 23:14	scenarios 22:21	62:3	39:20 45:23	strategic 18:18
Rhode 52:23	schedule 19:9	shared 18:14	50:5,7 52:13	32:8,17 37:9
right 4:23 10:5	scheduled 18:4	Shawn 15:11,12	53:24 54:4	39:6 59:21
14:17 15:5	18:4,5 40:25	15:12,17 37:22	specificity 22:24	61:12,15,17
16:1,5,22	Sean 2:2 5:22,23	Sherry 60:11,18	speed 28:10	62:2
20:18,22 21:16	5:25 49:16	short 23:3	spent 59:18	strategy 33:12
24:21 25:22	search 16:9	short-term 23:3	staff 34:1	35:20 36:19
26:23 29:22,24	searched 43:3	shorter 58:1	stage 50:3	37:5,12
31:13 32:23	second 8:3,3 9:3	show 26:5,5	standard 13:20	Street 1:18 2:3,9
34:12 39:16	15:21 16:2,21	showed 31:7	standards 8:14	structural 36:2
40:8 42:9,25	37:10 38:11	sign 11:25	8:15,16,20 9:1	structure 15:25
43:6 45:12,15	43:1 51:19	signed 11:23	9:3	16:21 35:2,4
47:20 48:24	58:25 59:1	significant	Stanford 9:7	35:14,19,25
52:7 53:5 59:5	second-tier	40:14 49:5,6,9	start 5:25 46:9	59:14 61:7
risk 9:11,12	29:16 44:18	49:10 61:1	46:16	structured 6:1

		_		1 490 10
structures 17:17	48:5 50:3 58:2	termination	three 8:18,21	31:12 32:15
17:18,18	take-aways	6:15 10:20	9:4 13:15,17	track 8:10 46:5
study 25:6,9,11	42:22	62:7	13:18 16:11	trade 19:19
28:19	taken 1:16 25:18	terms 22:18,21	19:8 23:20	transcript 63:11
stuff 19:12	26:10	24:3 33:8	32:21 41:1,2	true 63:12
59:17 61:17	takes 58:6	34:20,21,22	55:18	truth 4:24,25,25
subcategory 9:1	talk 14:14 16:1	44:11	three-year 14:3	try 7:11 43:17
subject 5:8	19:13 23:17	terribly 6:1	22:25	43:19 48:12
35:14 53:6	35:18,19 54:10	25:15	Thursday 1:16	trying 23:9,11
subsequent 4:22	61:16	testified 5:15	tied 23:14 51:12	23:12 25:16
19:6	talked 17:14	thank 44:1,20	53:10,18	31:4 40:11
substantive 61:4	19:11 21:10,12	62:12	tier 15:7,21	turning 34:25
subsumed 20:4	23:14,18 31:22	they'd 13:19	58:25 59:1	35:1
suggested 54:17	33:5,7,21	thing 35:3 41:13	tiered 17:17,18	turns 57:8
Suite 1:19 2:3,9	34:23 36:4	44:19 45:20	time 1:17 4:4,12	twice 27:10
summarize 7:17	38:11,11 54:8	53:1 57:3	7:16 9:23	two 8:12 9:5
supply 56:20	talking 18:6	59:21	10:24 18:10	19:8,11 33:24
support 6:15	21:15,18 26:8	things 17:8 21:5	21:12,18,20	38:10 52:13
62:7	50:13 55:11	27:25 28:1	22:4 28:20	55:18,19 60:7
supposed 37:5	57:6,9 59:18	37:8 47:5	30:11 33:10	60:12,18
37:11	talks 12:21	55:21 56:18	37:14 39:11	two-tiered 15:24
supposedly	team 14:16,19	61:3	43:21 48:18,25	15:24
40:25	14:25 16:20	think 5:20 11:9	52:17 54:7	two-way 42:8
sure 6:4 7:13	20:24 21:3	12:9,10 13:4	56:1,1 58:6	type 8:9 29:11
14:11 17:1	22:2 23:19	15:15,16,18	59:18 60:7	typically 22:15
24:22 25:19	26:16,25 27:5	17:6,15 21:23	61:11,13,14	<u> </u>
28:6 31:24	28:23 33:17	24:20 25:10,23	62:10,22	
34:18 35:17	34:5 36:21	27:17 30:19	Time's 43:23	ultimately 12:6
39:3,5 47:8	38:2,7,16 45:2	31:12 33:9,15	times 25:12	unaware 52:21
48:8 57:18	47:19 59:2	35:19 36:25	32:21,21,21	uncommon
59:12	60:15	37:19,25 40:6	39:6 41:2,4	27:18,23 55:23
surprise 55:20	Ted 1:14 3:3	40:14 42:19	timing 46:1	understand 5:7
surprised 24:15	4:24 5:13	43:5,20 45:4	title 7:21 30:24	14:15,18 43:3
55:24	tell 4:24 46:21	45:10 48:21	today 4:3 6:5,11	47:24 48:13
swear 4:24	telling 24:6	55:19 56:5	6:18,21 7:2,18	51:2 58:7
switch 49:16	ten 35:2 36:1	58:4 59:3,3	42:22	understanding 7:7 12:17 13:8
53:22	tenure 6:13 7:1	61:13 62:5,9	today's 13:14	13:21 26:17
switched 32:14	10:6 21:2	third 43:6	told 18:11 30:19	30:23 32:6,10
sworn 1:12 5:14	34:16,19 40:9	Thomas 1:21	30:22 33:7	32:16 47:18
62:24	41:2 47:3	63:8,22	46:10,23 51:14	51:5,8 53:17
system 42:14	50:20 57:15	thought 17:22	53:10	58:22
T	term 12:22 13:3	17:23 18:17,20	top 15:7 47:21	understood
table 5:23 34:8	13:5 15:1 20:1	23:10,13 35:13	topic 54:3 topics 49:16	12:10 29:10
tactical 18:21	22:4,19 23:3 30:4,5,11	38:9 60:1	tort 5:24	47:9 48:9,17
19:12 37:9	41:15 49:7	thoughts 18:15 37:15 46:17	totally 52:20	51:3 58:5
take 26:11 47:5	55:14	threats 5:3	Towers 25:7	unhappy 37:23
	JJ.14	un cats J.J	IUWEIS 43.7	Б
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				rage ii
unit 22:3,5	14:21 15:9	53:11,12 59:15	16:3 17:12	231 2:9
29:21 30:5	26:24 44:25	59:24 61:15	18:2,8 19:7	23rd 38:19
48:18 49:2	want 6:2 7:16	worked 11:5	20:20 21:8	39:24 50:16
55:3 58:12	24:6 46:16	15:7,8,9,13	22:1,1,11	24th 40:15
United 28:8,18	49:17 50:19	27:13 40:22	26:24 27:5,16	27 49:22
units 30:16 31:8	60:17 62:3	59:16,23 60:9	28:22 34:12	2nd 4:3
44:5,8,12	wanted 10:2	60:12,12,13,20	38:15 44:25	
48:22 51:5	11:12 14:14	60:21 61:24	50:8 54:2	3
update 37:7	wasn't 10:23	working 52:25	55:14 56:25	32202 2:4,9
upside 49:1	16:12 18:4,4	59:19	57:1,21 58:14	
upsides 37:17	25:15 50:8	works 23:7	58:24 61:8,25	4
use 55:14	wasted 37:20	workshop 37:6	Zahn's 7:1 40:9	4 34:3,10 63:11
useful 37:21	Watson 25:7	world 55:24	57:15 62:4	40-some 7:17
usual 53:14	31:12	worth 13:16	ZAHN-TER	470 2:9
usually 26:2	way 5:21,25 7:5	wouldn't 35:1	1:7	480 1:19 2:3
41:1	23:7 40:3	wound 37:6		4th 33:13
utilities 8:6	41:16 62:7	wrap-up 61:20	0	
19:20,22 25:13	we'll 14:11	62:13		5
27:17,18 28:1	we're 6:21 8:17	written 42:12,13	1	5 3:6
utility 28:7	9:1 23:1 40:4	42:15,24	1 46:15 47:1	
34:25	45:23 61:16	wrong 60:18	1,000 8:15	6
utmost 47:8	we've 5:20 6:25	wiong 00.10	10 9:25	63 3:7
utiliost 47.0	17:16,18 29:20	X	10:50 1:17 62:23	64 63:12
V	56:2	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ 3:1	62:24	7
value 49:2,6,12	week 40:25		100 61:14	70 40:13
51:25	weeks 6:25	Y	11 10:1	7th 63:20
Vedra 33:14,15	26:19,21	yeah 13:21 21:6	117 1:18 2:3	7th 05.20
34:4 36:23	went 19:1 20:2	21:24 23:9	11th 36:22 37:1	8
37:3 38:8,10	25:22 37:8	25:19 26:20	37:4 38:12	
versus 27:25	46:9 54:20	33:5 34:22	17th 6:12 14:20	9
viability 34:21	59:14	35:17 36:18	18 21:17,18 59:6	9:26 1:17 4:4
viable 35:2,7,14	weren't 21:4	42:18,21 49:12	19 21:19,22,24	9:30 4:4
35:25	West 1:18 2:3	49:19 53:9	1st 43:16,18	
vice 7:21 30:17	whatsoever 5:3	55:12 56:1,6	46:7,8,19,20	
view 34:20	Willis 25:7	59:3		
36:12 50:21	31:11 32:14	year 11:16 19:21	2	
61:8	witness 3:3 4:12	21:15 24:10	2 1:16	
Vinyard 15:8	4:13 5:1,6,11	30:10 45:14	200 22:12	
40:23 44:6,25	5:14 62:12,17	years 7:17 8:21	2008/2009 9:23	
45:10 46:12	word 12:24 13:1	13:17,18 32:13	2018 16:5,15	
60:15	words 13:10	35:3 36:1	2019 11:16	
virtually 61:11	14:3 23:9 24:2	43:15	21:15 31:15	
vote 48:5	work 5:21 6:24	years' 13:16	32:10,16 43:1	
VP 9:19	8:1 15:12	yep 19:5	2020 1:16 4:3	
	24:11 31:14,18		24:10 63:20	
W	32:1 37:11,12	Z	23 10:7 11:3,16	
Walette 9:7	37:23 44:2	Zahn 4:9 6:14	38:6,17 39:17	
Wannemacher	5,.25 11.2	14:20 15:3	39:19	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>